And a bird that rested in the window

ועוף ששכן בחלון –

OVERVIEW

The ברייתא included a bird which settled in the window, as one of the items that are ממעט בחלון. Our תוספות broadens this concept.

נראה דאתי אפילו לרבי מאיר דאמר (עירובין דף טו,ב) -

It appears to תוספות that this ruling of חוצץ is עוף ששכן is even according to ארים, is even according to who maintains elsewhere -

-3כל דבר שיש בו רוח חיים אין עושין אותו לא דופן לסוכה לחי למבוי כוליכ. That anything which is alive cannot be used neither as a wall for a סוכה, nor as a slat for a מבוי, etc., nonetheless מבוי can agree to the ruling here, for his ruling there -

דהיינו לענין מחיצת עשרה טפחים דוקא⁴ -

Is only regarding using a living thing as a מהיצה which needs to be ten שפהים - שפהים אבל הכא לענין ממעט בחלון ממעטו בכל דבר 2 שיבטלנו:

However here regarding diminishing the opening of a window, he can diminish is with anything which he is מבשל to the window.

SUMMARY

ר"מ disallows using a living animal as a wall which requires ten טפחים, but allows using a living animal to reduce the size of an opening to be טומאה on the טומאה.

THINKING IT OVER

1 Would all normit using an animal for

- 1. Would ר"מ permit using an animal for a מחיצה if the animal is tied up so that it cannot move?
- 2. Is there an inherent difference between the requirement for a מחיצה, and the requirement to be ממעט בחלון?

¹ For instance one may not use a cow for one of the סוכה walls (even though it has the proper dimensions).

² In order to be able to carry in a (closed) alleyway (מבוי) which is open on one side to a רה"ר, a slat (or לחי) needs to be placed inside the מבוי, near where the מבוי opens to the רה"ר.

³ Therefore from that מהיצה cannot be made from anything which is alive (for presumably since it is alive and moves, it cannot be considered a מהיצה which requires קביעות; it follows then that this bird cannot be used as a מהיצה to diminish the size of the window opening to less than a מהיצה (since it is not considered).

⁴ The wall of a סוכה and לחי are required to be ten טפחים high; therefore the animal may crouch or move so there will no longer be a מחיצה of ten טפחים.

⁵ The אמרא later establishes the case of a צפור that it is tied up and cannot fly away, so even though it may move, but it will always remain there and diminish the size of the opening. ונצ"ע. See 'Thinking it over'.