שמע מינה כליא<sup>1</sup> דתנור טפח

## We know from this that the Kalyoh of an oven is a Tefach

## **OVERVIEW**

אביי stated that we can derive from our משנה that the protrusion of the אביי (to the rest of the oven) is a שפח and this is important regarding תוספות. Our תוספות explains why this information was not discussed in a similar case previously.

תוספות responds to an anticipated difficulty:

הא דלא קאמר נמי לעיל שמע מינה שכב דריחים נמי טפח<sup>2</sup> לפי שהיה פשוט להם איך היה:

The reason the ממרא previously did not state, 'we can derive from the משכב that the שכב (base) of the mill also extends a שכב '(just like it made this inference regarding an oven), this is because it was obviously known to them how a mill was; everyone knew that in the mills the שכב was a שכב wider than the rest of the mill.<sup>3</sup>

## **SUMMARY**

There is no need to belabor the obvious.

## **THINKING IT OVER**

- 1. Can we somehow explain why is it that they knew the size of the mill, but not the size of the oven?
- 2. If everyone knows that the שכב extends a טפח from the רכב,  $^4$  why did the משנה have to add שלשה מן ארבעה או , it would be sufficient to state שלשה מן שכב and we would know that it is  $^5$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See on א,יי that רש"י בד"ה (and in TIE there) מוספות בד"ה כליא (and in TIE there) maintains that it is the protruding belly of the oven.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We know this since the מקח ממכר מקח וממכר שהן ד' מן השכב שהן שלש מן it is necessary to know this regarding מקח וממכר, just like by the oven, so why does not the ממרא mention this as well?!.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 'Thinking it over' # 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See footnote # 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See נחלת משה.