However, not because of the traffic

אבל משום דוושא לא –

OVERVIEW

asks: תוספות

- ⁴ואם תאמר ולימא דאיירי בעיר ישנה

And if you will say, but let the גמרא answer that the משנה regarding the windows is discussing an old city, when there is no need for דוושא, therefore the only reason to distance לא יאפיל if rom the windows is the windows is !

answers: תוספות

אומר רבינו תם דבית הוי כגינה⁵ לפי שנותנין מטה וכלים אצל הכותל -And the רבינו תם דבית הוי כגינה⁵ לפי שנותנין מטה וכלים אצל הכותל -מאמר רבינו תם דבית הוי כגינה⁵ לפי שנותנין מטה וכלים אצל הכותל nswers that the wall of a house is like the wall of a garden, people do not walk immediately adjacent to the wall, because they put a bed and utensils near the wall –

חוספות offers another distinction between בית and בית.

ועוד יש לומר דבחצר איכא דוושא דרבים מה שאין כן בבית -

¹ The ground has already been hardened by the many years people have been walking there.

² People do not walk as much in a garden (because of the plants growing there) as they do in a אצר (which is made for general use).

³ See the יהרמב"ן that according to בר סענה is by a משנה with the distancing is only by עיר ישנה is by משנה is by משנה is by משנה the distancing is [even] איר אושעיא סענותל הצר (therefore the distancing is [even] איר חדשה from הלונות (presumably) is (only) according to ר' אושעיא ס.

⁴ See 'Overview' (and footnote # 1).

⁵ See footnote # 2. People make use of the space near the outside wall of the house, therefore people do not walk adjacent to that wall, so even in an עיר ישנה there is still a need for דוושא by a...

And furthermore, one can say that by a אצר (which serves many houses) there is much traffic, which is not the case by a house, which only serves a single family. Therefore because of these two reasons, even if it were a עיר ישנה there would still be a need for the stark and the גמרא's question would remain.

חוספות offers an alternate approach:

ולרבינו יצחק נראה דניחא ליה לשנויי בעיר חדשה דומיא דרישא⁶ -And it appears to the גמרא ר''' that the גמרא prefers to explain the case of חלונות even by a new city (where גמרא is usually required) for the גמרא prefers that the סיפא of סיפא should be similar to the ריישא, which is in a case of עיר חדשה -

וכן ההיא⁷ דמרחיקין את הכותל מן המזחילה -And similarly regarding that משנה which requires distancing the wall from the gutter, the גמרא also prefers that it is in an עיר חדשה just like the previous גמרא

אף על גב דמפסקת בינתים ההיא דמרחיקין את הסולם מן השובך: Even though that the משנה of משנה מן השובך interrupts between our מוחילה interrupts מרחיקין את הסולם אובר משנה nevertheless the מזחילה זמשנה still prefers that also the מזחילה is by an עיר חדשה.

<u>Summary</u>

We cannot answer that the משנה of חלונות is by an עיר ישנה, for a כותל בית is more like a כותל גינה (than a כותל חצר) because there are items near the כותל גינה which prevent כותל or there is not sufficient כותל בית by a כותל בית (less people) as there is by a כותל משנה (more people). Alternately we prefer the סיפא (and the subsequent משנה) to be like the איר חדשה a עיר חדשה שנה משנה).

THINKING IT OVER

Why did רישא ר assume that the רישא is discussing an עיר חדשה? 8

⁶ See footnote # 3. The entire question was only according to רישא א who maintains that the איד (and the סיפא) is discussing an עיר חדשה. According to רב there never was a question from הלונות.

⁷ The גמרא shortly asks an additional question on רבא (regarding the requirement for אוד) from this awa which states that one must distance a wall from a gutter ד' אמות in order to allow him place for a ladder to clean his gutter. The question is why mention the ladder; he needs to distance ד' אמות 'because of ד' אמות. Seemingly here too, the גמרא could have answered (as חוספות asked previously) that we are discussing an עיר ישנה where there is no need for the גמרא According to the גמרא 't he answer is the same, for the גמרא prefers that this awa well should follow the pattern of our משנה which (according to אושעיה) is in an עיר הדשה (אושעיא).

⁸ See נחלת משה.