And the hosts of the heavens bow to you - וצבא השמים לך משתחוים

OVERVIEW

רבי יהושע בן לוי said we should be thankful to our predecessors who taught us (regarding prayer) that the שכינה is in the west, as is written וצבא השמים לך מעתחוים. 2 Our תוספות discusses the proof from this פסוק, and the need for this proof.

asks: תוספות

ואם תאמר בלילה נמי במזרח משתחוים³ –

And if you will say; but at night they also bow to the east, so what proof is there that שכינה במערב?

מוספות answers:

ויש לומר דאין לנו ללמוד אלא ממה שאנו רואין⁴ -

And one can say; that we can only learn from what we see; we only see the sun traveling from east to west –

מוספות asks:

- זאם תאמר ואמאי לא נדע מקום תפלה מבית המקדש שהיתה שם שכינה במערב And if you will say, but why cannot we know the place of prayer from the בית was there in the west?!

מוספות answers:

ויש לומר דמשם אין ללמוד דאף על גב דשכינה בכל מקום -

And one can say; that we cannot learn anything from the ב'המ"ק, for we can argue that even though the שכינה is everywhere (the same), nevertheless -

אי אפשר להשים ארון וכפורת אלא בצד אחד - 6אי

[.] נחמיה ט,ו¹

 $^{^2}$ The sun (and the moon) rises in the east and travels towards the west; indicating that it is facing and bowing to the שכינה which is in the west.

³ At night after the sun sets in the west it travels towards the east from where it will arise the next morning. It seems that during the day the sun bows to the west, but at night it bows towards the east, so why is one more relevant than the other.

⁴ Presumably since we do not see the sun traveling from west to east, even though it is apparent that it does so, nevertheless the fact that we do not see it, indicates that we should not learn anything from it.

⁵ In the בית המקדש as one went towards the west the קדושה continually increased. The ארון הקודש was placed in the western part of the ביהמ"ק; indicating that the שכינה is in the west..

⁶ It is therefore also understood why as one progressed to the west the קדושה increased, for the ארון was in the west, but not necessarily because the שכינה is there, more than anywhere else. See 'Thinking it over'.

It is impossible to place the ארוך, only on one side (so they chose the west side), but that does not mean that the שכינה is (only) there.

תוספות offers an alternate explanation:

רבינו יצחק בן אברהם אומר דמשם אין ללמוד דשכינה במערב -And the ריצב"א says that we cannot derive from the ביהמ"ק that the שכינה is in the west -

דנהי דבית קדשי הקדשים היה במערב המקדש -

For granted that the housing of the קדשי קדשים was in the western part of the ביהמ"ק

- מכל מקום הארון שהשכינה שם כדכתיב (שמות כה?) ונועדתי לך שם ארון שהשכינה שם כדכתיב (שמות כה?) Nevertheless, the place of the שכינה which is where the שכינה is found there, as it states, 'and I will meet with you there'; the ארון -

היה במזרח⁸ בית קדשי הקדשים:

Was in the eastern part of the בית קדה"ק.

<u>SUMMARY</u>

Regarding the presence of the שכינה we can only derive from what we see, but not from what we assume. We cannot derive that שכינה במערב from the ביהמ"ק, since we can argue that it was an arbitrary decision where to place the ארון; alternately the was not placed completely to the west of the קדה"ק.

THINKING IT OVER

תוספות first answer is that there is no proof from the ביהמ"ק, for the ארון had to be placed somewhere; it happened to be placed in the west. If that is the answer, so what proof is there from the sun, we can say the same thing; it needs to travel in some direction so it happens to be towards the west; what proof is there that שכינה $2!^9$

.

⁷ פסוק כב.

⁸ This seemingly means that it was eastward from the western back of the קדה"ק. The קדה"ק was twenty אמות square. The ארון ארון was placed in the center so that it was equidistant from all sides. The fact that the שבינה במערב that ביהמ"ק was not placed completely in the west of the קדה"ק.

⁹ See מהרש"א (and משה (נחלת משה).