- סברוה 1 מאי מחיצה גודא

They assumed, what does מחיצה mean; a wall

OVERVIEW

There are two opinions in our גמרא, how to interpret the word משנה in our משנה, and subsequently whether היזק ראיה שמיה היזק סר not. Each of these opinions is introduced with the term 'סברוה' generally refers to an opinion which is originally introduced, only to be refuted later. We do not find however that either of these opinions is refuted. Why therefore does the גמרא introduce them with the term מברוה our איז מרא will offer two interpretations.

anticipates and responds to the following question:

אף על גב דלבסוף קאי –

Even though that this assumption (that מחיצה refers to a wall) remains at the conclusion of the סוגיא. Those who maintained that מחיצה גודא, were not refuted, nevertheless –

– קאמר סברוה לפי שזה הלשון אינו עיקר מחמת פירכא² דלקמן

The גמרא **refers** to their opinion as **'they assumed', since this opinion** (that מהיצה means גורא) **is not the main opinion, on account of the refutation(s)** mentioned **later** in the גמרא. Even though each refutation has been deflected and explained to satisfy this opinion that היזק ראיה לא שמיה היזק however -

רבינו תם – ודיחוי בעלמא הוא האי דמשני וכלשון שני הלכה וכן פוסק רבינו תם – the answers given were merely deflections, and not satisfying answers, and the final ruling is like the second opinion that היזק ראיה שמיה היזק , and indeed the ר"ת rules that היז"ר שמיה היזק לשון therefore refers to this first סברוה as להלכה to indicate that סברוה היזק ראיה שמיה היזק ראיה שמים היזק ראיה שמים היזק ראים שמים שמים היזק ראים שמים היזק ראים היזק ראים היזק ראים שמים היזק ראים שמים היזק ראים היזק ראים שמים הייק ראים הייק ראים שמים הייק ראים שמים הייק ראים הייק ראים

תוספות anticipates a follow-up question: The reason the גמרא uses the term 'סברוה' for the first לשון is because the הלכה does not follow that opinion. Why is it then that the גמרא uses the same term 'סברוה' to introduce the second לשון hat'? That לשון is according to the הלכה!

תוספות responds:

ואגב דנקט בהאי לישנא סברוה נקט נמי בלישנא אחריתי –

And since he uses the term 'סברוה', by this (the first) opinion (to indicate that we do not follow this לשון), therefore he uses it as well by the other (second and valid)

¹ The students, who were studying our משנה, assumed.

² See ש"ש who emends the text to read 'פירכי', in the plural.

 $^{^3}$ See 'וטו סברוה וכו' א'', ל"א גמרא דף גא, גמרא אוכו'

opinion.

חוספות offers another approach:

- איכא סברוה איכא דנדרים (דף יא,א) איכא דנדרים ועוד אומר רבינו יצחק דבפרק אינדרים (דף יא,א) איכא לחדרים אומר f מסכת נדרים מסכת there is the expression 'סברוה' -

אף על גב דלבסוף קאי ומסיק הכי:

Even though that ultimately that opinion remained and was accepted as such. Therefore here too in our גמרא, we may maintain that either or both of the 'סברוה' are valid opinions.⁵

SUMMARY

The גמרא uses the term סברוה for the first לשון to indicate that we do not follow this opinion להלכה. The refutations to this opinion were not satisfactorily answered. However once we used the term 'סברוה' to introduce one opinion we used it as well to introduce the other opinion.

Another approach is that 'סברוה' can refer to an acceptable opinion that is not subsequently refuted or retracted.

THINKING IT OVER

- 1. What are four ways that the term 'סברוה' may be used?
- 2. How can we interpret 'סברוה' to indicate that this opinion does not follow the , when the term 'סברוה' is used here in both opinions?

-

⁴ The משנה there (י,ב) stated if one made a נדר and said מאוכל לך he is forbidden to eat by him. The גמרא that he meant to say לא לחולין להוי אלא קרבן, and this remains לא,כ (See יא,ב חס רב אשי)

 $^{^5}$ It would seem that according to the הלכה is not necessarily that היז"ר. היז"ר שמיה היזק.