It is different there; - שאני התם דאמר ליה בעל החצר לבעל הגג כולי says to the owner of the משאני התם דאמר ליה בעל החצר says to the owner of the roof, etc.

OVERVIEW

The גמרא גמרא attempted (seven times) and failed to prove that גמרא היזק ראיה שמיה היזק. The last time (even) resorting to a ממרא of an תוספות is of the opinion that we can prove ברייתא from a ברייתא.

asks: תוספות

- מימה אמאי לא מייתי מדתניא לקמן (דף ו:) שתי חצירות זו למעלה זו '-
It is astounding! Why does not the גמרא bring proof that ה"ר ש"ה from a ברייתא which we learnt later that states, 'if there are two חצירות, where one הצירות is higher than the other -

- לא יאמר העליון הריני בונה מכנגדי ועולה אלא מסייע מלמטה ובונה היני בונה מכנגדי ועולה אלא מסייע מלמטה ובונה The owner of the higher הצר should not say, 'I will participate in our joint wall by building from my ground level and upwards.' He cannot do that - rather he is required to assist from the lower level and build the entire wall together -

אלמא שמיה היזק:

It is evident from this ברייתא is שמיה היזק. If שמיה שמיה then neither the עליון nor the תחתון have to participate at all.

<u>SUMMARY</u>

It would seem that we can prove הרש"ה, from the ברייתא, which states that in a case of עליון, the עליון must jointly build the wall from the bottom up. If π , there should be no requirement at all.

THINKING IT OVER

Seemingly we can refute תוספות proposed proof from the ברייתא. Perhaps the ברייתא is discussing a case where both partners contractually agreed in principle to build a joint wall. They did not however specify the details.⁵

¹ A refutation from a אמורא is (much) stronger than a refutation from an אמורא (if indeed it can even be considered a refutation).

² Where the properties adjoin, the ground of one is higher than the other, thus naturally creating a wall between the properties. This natural wall was less than ד', the amount required for היזק ראיה.

³ However he does not wish to contribute for the part of the wall that is being built below his property level.

⁴ For a precise interpretation of this ברייתא and the associated מחלוקת between רב חסדא and רב חסדא, see the commentaries on the גמרא

⁵ See נח"מ and בל"י אות מ.