A person is not that brazen

לא חציף איניש –

OVERVIEW

רב יהודה ruled, if a person takes his harvesting tools and proclaims that he bought someone's dates from his date palm and is going to harvest them, he is believed and בי"ד will not prevent him, even though there is no proof that the owner sold him the rights to the dates. The reason is because לא הציף איניש to eat fruit that does not belong to him. Therefore we assume he is telling the truth (unless the owner is present and denies selling him the rights to the dates). הוספות would be;¹ is it (only) the actual harvesting of the dates, or saying publicly that he will harvest the dates (and then harvesting them).

 $-^2$ לאו משום דקאמר בפרהסיא איזיל ואיגדריה קאמר דלא חציף does not say the reason of לא is because it is a חוצפה to announce publicly that איזיל ואיגדריה (if it does not belong to you) -

- דאפילו ליקט בצנעא נמי שייך לא חציף איניש For even if he gathered the dates quietly the concept of לא הציף also applies; a person will not have the חוצפה to harvest פירות דלאו דליה even בצנעה -

אם לא שלקחם דרך גניבה:

Unless he took them in a manner which indicates that he is stealing. Then the rule of א חציף does not apply and if בי"ד sees him (for instance breaking and entering someone's property in a surreptitious manner), בי"ד will prevent him even if he claims that he bought the rights to whatever he is taking.

<u>SUMMARY</u>

The הוצפה is on account of the harvesting alone even without an announcement.

THINKING IT OVER

Why did רב יהודה mention that the person said איזיל לדיקלא איזיל, if it is a איזיל איזיל ואיגדריה (according to תוספות) even without an announcement? 4

 2 If we would assume this (that לא חציף applies only when he makes a public announcement), then if he does not make a public announcement, but merely goes (openly) and begins to harvest someone's produce and claims (when confronted [by neighbors or כירות) that he bought the פֿירות he would not be believed.

-

¹ See 'Thinking it over' in previous תוספות ד"ה איזיל (footnote # 7)

³ תוספות infers this from the fact that רב זביד derived from the case of רב יהודה, the rule of לא הציף לא regarding the case of לא הציף איניש where the מחזיק made no previous announcement [and (perhaps) since לפירות ירדתי states לא הציף איניש לומר איזיל ואיגדריה לדיקלא דלאו דידיה, but does not say [דגדר] (דגזר] דיקלא דלאו דידיה.

⁴ See סוכ"ד אות סט.