יתירה יתירא טפח יתירה – It is different there; for there is an additional טפח.

Overview

The גמרא inferred from our משנה that a wall requires a width of five טפחים to support a height of four אמות. However a width of six טפחים can support even a height of thirty אמות, as witnessed by the תוספות. אמה טרקסין suggests that there may a different explanation how a wall of thirty אמות high was supported by a width of (only) six טפחים.

גמרא – The גמרא **could have answered** this apparent anomaly; that in the אמות a wall of six טפחים wide supported a height of thirty אמות.

דאגב תקרה ומעזיבה – for on account of the weight of the ceiling and the plaster, which rested and weighed down on the wall, therefore –

קאי שפי- it stands up much more than four אמות high for each five טפּחים wide. אמות אמור – as the גמרא shortly states 2 .

Summary

A wall can support a greater proportional height (than four אמות for each five width) provided it is not a free standing wall; but is braced by the weight of a roof/ceiling bearing down on it.

Thinking it over

Can a wall of five טפחים wide, with a תקרה ומעזיבה on it, support a height of thirty אמות?

¹ חוספות perhaps finds it difficult that the addition of only one more אמה width (a 20% increase), can support an additional twenty six אמות of height (a 650% increase)!

 $^{^2}$ See 'עמוד ב. See 'תוספות there, ד"ה אמר, which seems to contradict our תוספות.