## שכל דברי מלכות נחתכין על פיו – For all the royal matters were decided by his word.

## Overview

The גמרא is discussing what punishment דניאל incurred for offering נבוכדנצר advice. The גמרא offers two opinions; either his stature was diminished (therefore his name was changed to התך), or he was thrown to the lions (which he ultimately survived unscathed). תוספות will argue that we find that he suffered a more sinister fate.

מוספות asks:

מגילת אחשורוש (אסתר) – - According to the מגילת אחשורוש (אסתר) סל אחשורוש מגילת אחשורוש סל - אחשורוש מגילת שנהרג ש

דכתיב את דברי למרדכי - As it is written in the מגילת אסתר; they related to מדכי the words of אסתר.

מרדכי however it does not say in that פסוק, that מרדכי told מרדכי. After the מגילה relates that אחשורוש to explain to a מרדכי why she cannot go to אחשורוש on behalf of the Jews; the פסוק merely states that מרדכי was told what אסתר said, but it did not say that מרדכי himself actually told מרדכי. The reason that התך did not tell מרדכי is –

תוספות explains why our גמרא does not mention the punishment of death:

כן תופס כן - however our גמרא does not maintain this; that killed התך (therefore they mention other punishments for דניאל) -

מסכת מגילה (דף שו,א) - For the גמרא offers a מסכת מגילה וו מסכת concerning this very issue. The גמרא there asks:

היכן היכן היכן הרך – and where did התך go? Why did he not deliver s'מרדי message to מרדכי (that she cannot seek an audience with the king)?

מרדכי **and** the גמרא בא**explains;** the reason התך did not carry this message to מרדכי, was –

לפי שאין משיבין על הקלקלה – because we do not respond for a disastrous matter. Since אחשררש refused to go to אחשורוש, and this was detrimental for the Jews, התך did not want to be the bearer of bad tidings.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ See תרגום אסתר דייב.

## Summary

There are two opinion why (דניאל) התך did not deliver אסתר's message (of refusal to seek an audience with מרדכי מדכי and consequently the punishment דניאל received for advising נבוכדנצר. According to the התך גמרא התך מרדכי The punishment מרדכי מרדכי. The punishment he received was that his stature was diminished or that he was thrown into the lion's den. According to the מרדכי, however, התך was killed by המן for being the intermediary between אסתר.

## Thinking it over

Would it not have been more appropriate that the דיבור המתחיל of this תוספות should have been either 'מאי איכא למימר', or 'ודניאל מגלן ', why choose 'מאי איכא למימר' for the ד"ר? It seemingly has no connection to the issue at  $hand^2$ .

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It may be pointed out that the time that דניאל was called ארות שמא after the time that he was thrown אריות. It also seems that the answer of לגוב אריות is not so convincing; otherwise it should have been offered first, before אריות; since this is written clearly in דניאל. It was also much closer in time to the misdeed he performed. The אמרא may be arguing thus: You do not consider גוב אריות a punishment, since he was not harmed. He was also called התך because his prestige did not diminish at all. In fact; שכל דברי מלכות אחשורוש these years into מלכות אחשורוש. There certainly was no punishment! מוספות may be saying on the contrary! דניאל was elevated to such prominence in order to punish him severely!