סמך לפלגא סמך לכולה –

Joining for half, is joining for the whole

Overview

The view of view of rc that if the new wall that was placed adjacent to the original wall was only half the size of the original wall, nevertheless he is required to pay for half of the entire original wall. The reason is because we assume that eventually he will enlarge the new wall to the same dimensions as the original wall, and utilize the entire original wall.

האי לפלגא איירי בין לאורך בין לגובה:

This statement, that the new wall was half the size of the old wall, is discussing both cases; whether it was half the length of the original wall, or whether it was half the height of the original wall. In both cases the same rule applies; that it is סמך לכולה, and he must pay for the cost of half the original wall.

<u>Summary</u>

It makes no difference whether the new (adjoining) wall was smaller than the original wall in height or length; in both cases he must pay for half of the entire original wall.

THINKING IT OVER

What would be the דין if the new wall was smaller than the original wall in both length *and* height?