## They collect according to the monetary value – לפי שבה ממון הן גובין

## **OVERVIEW**

ר' יוחנן resolved the query of ר' אלעזר that for building the חומת העיר we collect from the inhabitants based proportionately on their wealth (the rich pay more than the poor), but we do not tax them all equally per capita (as one option of the query suggested). ר' יוחנן explains the reason for the ruling of ר' יוחנן.

כיון דאין<sup>1</sup> סכנת נפשות לא אזלינן אלא בתר ממון –

Since [here] primarily there is no danger to life if there would be no הומה, therefore we do not go according to the people (that they should contribute per capita) but rather we go according to the wealth of the citizens. They each pay proportional to their assets (which may be stolen, if there is no הומה).

חוספות offers a corollary case:

והכי נמי אמר בהגוזל בתרא (בבא קמא דף קטז,ב) שיירא ההולכת במדבר ועמד עליה גייס – And the גמרא also states a similar ruling in פרק הגוזל בתרא; 'a caravan that was traveling in the wilderness and marauders entrapped them. The שיירא and the שיירא and the שיירא agreed on the amount of money to be given to the אייכא, so the שיירא will continue on its way -

מחשבין לפי ממון דליכא סכנת נפשות כיון דאין הגייס בא רק בשביל ממון – We assess the members of the שיירא according to the money they have with them, and they each give proportionally (this concludes the גמרא); תוספות continues the reason for this is since there is no סכנת נפשת because the גיים only came for money, but not to kill and maim.

תוספות responds to an anticipated difficulty:

ואף על גב דאמר בפרק בן סורר (סנהדרין דף עב,א) דהבא במחתרת נידון על שם סופו<sup>2</sup> And (we rule in this case that מחשבין לפי ממון) even though the גמרא states in that a thief who burrows through a tunnel is judged according to the consequence of his actions -

-3ומפרש רבא טעמא בגמרא משום חזקה דאין אדם מעמיד עצמו על ממונו כולי

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The הגהות הב"ח amends this to read, דאין בה סכנת.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The rule is that if a thief is burrowing his way into a house to steal and the בעל הבית apprehends him and kills the thief, the בעה"ב is not liable for his death, as explained shortly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The owner will not stand idly by while the thief steals his money; he will put up a fight and the thief in return may kill the בעה"ב in order to steal the money, therefore the בעה"ב is initially exempt for killing the thief immediately, based on the axiom of הבא להרגד השכם להרגד.

And אבר explained the reason there in the גמרא, because there is a presumption that a person cannot hold himself back regarding his money, etc.<sup>4</sup>

ואפילו⁵ הכי אין מחשבין אלא לפי ממון כיון דהגייס ברצונו אינו בא על הנפשות – But nevertheless we only assess the שיירא according to the money (and not according to נפשות even though it can come to נפשות as in the case of הבא במהתרת); since the intent of the גיים is not come for ממון only for ממון. We know this is true -

- <sup>6</sup>דאם לא יעמדו נגדם לא יזיק לגופם הילכך לא חשיב סכנת נפשות For if the people of the שיירא will not rise up against the גייס, the מייס will not cause them bodily harm, therefore since the main intent of the ממון is ממון, it is not considered סכנת נפשות (even though it is possible that it may eventually turn out to be a סכנת נפשות [if the שיירא refuses to cooperate]).

לפיכך אם יעמדו עליהם לסטים ונתפשרו עמהם גובין לפי ממון – Therefore if robbers apprehend the שיירא and they came to a monetary agreement with the לסטים, we collect according to the money and not לפי נפשות -

- $^{9}$ אבל היכי דטעו במדבר אמר התם דמחשבינן אף לפי הנפשות However, in a case where the שיירא was lost in the גמרא, the גמרא rules there that we assess also according to בפשות -

משום כיון דטעו איכא<sup>10</sup> סכנת נפשות:

Because, since they are lost in the מדבר, there is סכנת נפשות.

## **SUMMARY**

Where there is no immediate סכנת נפשות but only a monetary loss, we collect

 $^{8}$  ב"ק קטז,ב.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> We see from that אמרא that the בא במחתרת is considered that he is coming על עסקי נפשות (even though he initially only wants money), so seemingly by גייס it should also be considered עסקי נפשות and they should pay לפי נפשות.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Others amend this to read אפילו (not מהר"ם); see מהר"ם.

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  תוספות does not state clearly what is the difference between בא במחתרת where it is considered דיני משות and the owner has no liability for killing him, and the case of שיירא where it is not considered סכנת נפשות (even though seemingly the חזקה of אין אדם מעמיד עצמו על should apply here as well). One explanation may be that by the owner of the house may assume that the thief realizes that the owner will put up a fight, so the thief is coming על עסקי נפשות and therefore he may be killed (as a רודף). However by הומה דס שיירא the poor people can argue we are not interested in fighting for our money, and so from our perspective they are only coming על עסקי and therefore גובין לפי ממון (see מהר"ם shiernately, it depends what the issue is; by מחתרת we are discussing the right of the owner to defend his property, therefore the בא במחתרת and may be killed; if however we are discussing how much to pay, then the נה"מ, סוכ"ד so we are גובה לפי ממון. See גובה לפי ממון and בל"י וכו' and בל"י וכו' and בל"י וכו' explanations to differentiate between the cases.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The הגהות הב"ם amends this to read, אבל היכא אבל, etc. כיון דתעו.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> They pay the guide to lead them back to civilization both according to ממון and ממון. [Presumably, half the fee is לפי נפשות (where all contribute equally) and half the fee is לפי.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> In their current situation (since they are lost) there is a סכנת נפשות (they may perish in the מדבר) and lose their money.

ממון (even if it can deteriorate to a סכנת נפשות situation).

## **THINKING IT OVER**

- 1. Is the obligation to pay לפי ממון because of the (additional) money that the (richer) person is being protected from losing, or is it based on the cause of the problem, that the thieves come because of the (larger) amounts of money that is there (which belong the richer people)?<sup>11</sup> [In the case of שיירא would they pay according to how rich they are, or according to how much money they are traveling with?]
- 2. Is there more reason to say גובין לפי ממון over שיירא or vice versa?

\_