משום כפרה חם רחמנא עלה –

The 'merciful one' pitied her, in order that she receive forgiveness

OVERVIEW

רב יהודה אמר רב וכו', that מכל חטאת וגו' derives from the פסוק of יעשו מכל חטאת וגו', that הכתוב אשה לאיש לכל עונשין שבתורה. This means that whenever there is a punishment (such as מלקות or a קרבן for doing an עבירה it is applicable to women as well. The גמרא states that from this פסוק alone we would not know that women are equivalent to men regarding other issues (such as מיתות or מלקות וbe it שונשין or מלקות ועונשין (where the עונשין שונשין וbe it מיתוך אונשין פרבן serves as a חורה does the חורה equate women to men, because the תורה has compassion for the women transgressor and desires that she receive atonement for her transgression. However by other cases where there is no compassion indicated by equating the woman to a man, perhaps they are not equal.

asks: תוספות

יאם תאמר אם לא הוקשו לענין עונשין כלל כל שכן דהוה חס עלה טפי -And if you will say; if the women would not be equated at all to the men concerning punishments,³ that would certainly be considered a greater

compassion!

שלא היה בה עונש⁴ ולא היתה צריכה כפרה כלל

For she would not receive any punishment and she would not require atonement at all! How can the גמרא state that [we may have thought that] the reason the חורה equated אשה לאיש for all עונשין שבתורה is because the merciful one had compassion for the woman and wanted to afford her the opportunity for atonement; if indeed the חורה had compassion on the woman it would have excluded her initially from any punishment, and there would be no need for atonement. That would have been true compassion.

במדבר (נשא). The פרשה is discussing הגול הגר. There is a קרבן אשם and חומש charged to the הגולן.

 $^{^2}$ It follows that if she receives the עונש, she is (also) prohibited from doing this act, and it is considered a הזטא. See following footnotes # 3 & 4.

³ This means that if there would not be the איש לאשה of איש לאשה, and therefore women would be entirely עונשים from עונשים (and would not be considered transgressors). See following footnote # 4.

⁴ תוספות in קדושין לה,א ד"ה משום words the question as follows; תוספות לא עונש ולא עונש ולא עונש ולא חטא. In תוספות it states similarly, שהרי לא היתה באה לא לידי עונש ולא לידי חטא אי לאו קראי.

⁵ The fact that the תורה does equate אשה לכל עונשין שבתורה indicates that there is no special compassion for woman, and they are held equally liable as men are. תוספות question is why could we not derive from עונשין the rule of אשה התוב אשה לאיש in regards to all other areas as well.

מוספות answers:

ויש לומר דאצטריך קרא לעונשים הכתובים בהדיא בנשים -

And one can say; that it is necessary to have a פסרק (to equate women to men in regards to כפרה) in cases of punishments which are explicitly written for woman - כגוו עריות שיש להן כפרה:

for instance concerning the laws of illicit relationships, where the תורה specifies punishments for the women (as well as the men). It is in regards to these laws that the תורה found it necessary to equate אשה לאיש, that women have a תורה (even) for these transgressions. חוספות answer is that there are certain transgressions where we know (without the תוספות of שימוד אשה לאיש לכל שונשין שבתורה that woman are prohibited and will receive punishment for transgressing them. Without the לימוד for women for these transgressions as well. It is because of this compassion that we cannot derive the rule of אשה לאיש לכל שונשין שבתורה for transgression that we cannot derive the rule of השוה הכתוב אשה לאיש לאיש לאיש לאיש לאיש לאיש לאיש in all other instances.

SUMMARY

The compassion shown to women is concerning those cases where there is an explicit punishment for woman, and nevertheless they can receive atonement.

THINKING IT OVER

Granted that there is compassion in the cases where explicit punishment is meted out to women (that they have a כפרה); nevertheless the היקש of השוה הכתוב אשה לכל עונשין שבתורה applies to all cases, even where there is no explicit עונש for the women (but rather it is derived from this היקש); why cannot we derive the rule of השוה הכתוב אשה לאיש לכל עונשין for all instances, from the שבתורה in those case where there is no explicit שנוש (and therefore no [special] compassion)?!¹⁰

 $^{^{6}}$ See 'וכו' טז – יד, טז – יד, כ, יא (קדושים).

⁶

 $^{^{7}}$ The איסור teaches us that if the woman transgressed an איסור עריות בשוגג, she will receive a קרבן through a קרבן, just like a man.

⁸ See 'Thinking it over'.

⁹ It would be (seemingly) difficult to assume that the אריות is referring only to עריות, etc.; for why would it be written by עריות, it should be written by עריות. If indeed it is referring only to עריות, then how can we derive that woman are equal to men in regards to עונשין שבתורה?! See (also) נה"מ.

 $^{^{10}}$ See תוספות ה"ה משום משום הקושין לה, מוספות cited above (footnote # 4).