When she scorched the entire palace

במסכסכת כל הבירה כולה –

OVERVIEW

The גמרא explained (according to ר"ל) the reason the בעל הגמל is liable for burning down the בירה is (only) in a case where the camel scorched the entire בירה. Our offers an explanation why by חייב he is חייב.

דהוי כולה מקום¹ גחלת:

For the entire בירה is considered as the place of the coal.

SUMMARY

When the camel is scorching the entire building every part of the building is considered מקום הגחלת

THINKING IT OVER

Seemingly רש"י already taught us^2 that he is מסכסכת since the entire בירה בירה בירה מסכסכת since the entire בירה ודאי הוי כולו כמקום גחלת adding, and why does he need to explain this?

_

¹ Previously (in the case of the חריב שנטל חררה), the אמרא explained that according to ר"ל he is only מקום for the מקום (and not for the entire מסכסכת כל הבירה כולה was גמל was מקום is the מחלם, the entire גדיש is the מחלם. This is considered as a רגל מזיק.

 $^{^2}$ בד"ה במסכסכת.