Rav went out and proclaimed

בפק רב ודרש –

Overview

The גמרא relates that by this ישוע הבן, a cat came and bit off the baby's hand. במרא out to the public and proclaimed that one is permitted to kill a cat. תוספות corrects our understanding of this גמרא.

:נתקן נתקן בהא פריך עלה מברייתא¹ אלא דרש דמקודם² נתקן לא עתה על זה המעשה תיקן דהא פריך עלה מברייתא¹ אלא דרש דמקודם² נתקן did not institute this enactment now because of this incident, since the גמרא challenged this enactment from a ברייתא, rather ברייתא proclaimed that it was previously enacted.

Summary

שמs citing a previous enactment to kill cats; it was not a current enactment.

Thinking it over

How can we explain the גמרא as it is simply understood; not like תוספות? 3

 $^{^1}$ The ברייתו were written before the time of ברייתו (who was an אמורא), so what is the contradiction between the ברייתא which permitted raising cats (in previous times) and the enactment of בו later, which permitted killing cats (because of this incident). We find many things which were once permitted and later prohibited.

² This incident caused רב to remind the populace that in previous generations we were told to kill cats. Therefore the asks, 'but in the ברייתא it states that one may raise cats'.

 $^{^3}$ See חי' הרשב"א and אוצר מפרשי התלמוד # 5.