And it was concerning that time that – ועל אותה שעה שנינו מעשה שבא we learnt, there was an incident that the עומר came, etc.

Overview

The גמרא stated that the משנה which states that they brought the גמרא from גנות צריפין, etc. is referring to this war between the חוספות. Our תוספות attempts to reconcile the chronology of events.

(כולה¹) משנה היא במנחות בפרק רבי ישמעאל (דף סד,ב ושם) –

This (מעשה שבא וכו') is a משנה in מסכת מנחות in - פרק ר"י

מוספות asks:

ואם תאמר והא בימי מרדכי הוה המעשה -

And if you will say; but this incident took place in the days of מרדכי -

כדאמר התם בגמרא² דאתא חרשא אותיב ידיה אגנה³ כולי אמר להן מרדכי - As the גמרא there relates that a deaf-mute came, put his hand on a (roof) [garden], etc. so מרדכי said to them, etc. This concludes the citation from the גמרא -

ותימה גדולה היא אם חיה מרדכי כל כך⁴ -

And it is a great wonder if מרדכי would have lived so long -

מוספות answers:

רבינו יצחק דכל אותן שהיו בקיאים ברמזים ובלשונות היו נקראים על שם מרדכי -And it seems to the ר"י that all those people who were well knowledgeable in allusions and languages were called by the name of מרדכי -

¹ Others indicate that this word כולי cir כולי should be part of the ה"ז of חנספות, i.e. מעשה שבא כולי.

 $^{^2}$ The story there is that they did not know from where to bring the עומר (on the second day of פסח). The deaf-mute put one hand atop of a roof – גג, and the other hand on top of a hut – מרדכי said is there a place which is called מרדכי said is there a place which is called עומד, etc. They found the place and bought the עומד from there. In any event it was during the lifetime of מרדכי.

³ There in מנחות the איגרא is אאיגרא which means a roof.

⁵ The רש"ש amends this to read ר"ו (instead of ר"ס). See TIE previous תוס' ד"ה ואסור footnote # 2.

לפי שהוא היה ראש וחכם להכיר -

Since מרדכי was the head of the Jewish people and the wise one to recognize allusions and languages,⁶ so they called the subsequent sages who were familiar with linguistics by the name מרדכי. However that מרדכי by the חשמונאים was not the מרדכי of the מרדכי.

- ואותו מעשה דג' נשים דמייתי התם נראה שהיה מרדכי ממש משר דג' נשים דמייתי התם נראה שהיה מרדכי ממש האותו מעשה דג' נשים דמייתי התם cites there, it appears to מרדכי that it was actually מרדכי who understood what they meant -

דקאמר⁹ והיינו דתנן (שקלים פרק ה' משנה א') פתחיה על הקנין¹⁰: Since the משנה concludes there, 'and this is what the משנה teaches that פתחיה was in charge of the קנין.

Summary

People who were familiar with languages were called מרדכי. The עומר of the עומר was not מרדכי of the מרדכי of the מרדכי of the מרדכי of the מרדכי.

Thinking it over

When תוספות wanted to prove that the מרדכי of the מרדכי is שמש מרדכי, why did he just cite the words פתחיה על הקנין (which does not seem to prove anything), when he should have cited the conclusion of the משנה that פתחיה זה מרדכי?!

 7 Three women bought birds for קרבנוח, but they were obscure as to the nature of these קרבנות (the people assumed that these were מרדכי that are brought for a זבה where one is a חטאת and the other an מרדכי clarified that they were all קרבנות נדבה which are an עולה.

-

⁶ He discovered the plot by בגתן ותרש for he knew their language.

⁸ Even though that it is a תימה גדולה to say that the מרדכי of the מרדכי was indeed the שלש נשים of the מגילה.

 $^{^{10}}$ The קנין refer to the two birds that are required to be brough by a יולדת or a קנין, where one is an עולה and the other a הטאת. The laws can be somewhat complicated and so the one in charge was פתחיה. See 'Thinking it over'.

¹¹ See footnote # 9.