They all take the place of the owners

כולן נכנסו תחת הבעלים

OVERVIEW

The ברייתא states that if a debtor sold all his fields to one customer (or to three customers simultaneously) the rules of collecting are the same as it was by the original debtor (i.e. the ניזק collects the עידית, etc.). The accepted ruling is that one may not collect from the debtor's sold properties, unless the creditor has a שטר signed by witnesses.¹ תוספות will explain why the ניזק may collect from the sold property, even though he has no מזיק against the

asks: תוספות

ואם תאמר והא נזקין מלוה על פה נינהו ומלוה על פה לא גבי ממשעבדי² - And if you will say; but נזיקין is an oral loan, there is no signed documentation that the מלוה ע"ם owes the ניזק money, and the rule is that a מלוה ע"ם does not collect from indentured property. Why should the ניזק be allowed to collect from the buyer (of the מזיק field), who may have not known that the מזיק owed any monies to the מזיק?

מוספות answers:

ויש לומר דכשעמד בדין כמלוה בשטר דמיא⁴ -

And one can say; that when the case was adjudicated in בי"ד that the מזיק owes the ניזק money, then this debt is considered as a מלוה בשטר, and can be collected from the משועבדים. We are discussing such a case in our גמרא. The field in question was sold by the after the לקוחות so the העמדה בדין were aware of the debt.

כדאמר בהגוזל בתרא (לקמן קיב) ובכמה דוכתין -

As the נמרא גמרא states in פרק הגוזל and in many places; that כשעמד בדין is considered as a מלוה בשטר.

תוספות offers another answer:

אי נמי מלוה הכתובה בתורה ככתובה בשטר דמיא וחייב:

1

¹ The reason is that a מלוה על פה has no קול and therefore (whether we hold שעבודא דאורייתא or שעבודא לאו דאורייתא) in order to protect the buyers, the creditor cannot collect from the לקוחות. [If we maintain שעבודא דאורייתא, then any debt which has a קול (even if it is קול) can be collected from משעובדים.]

 $^{^{2}}$ A מלוה ע"פ can only collect from בני חורין; assets which the debtor currently owns.

³ The reason a מלוה ע"ם does not collect from משעובדים is because there is no publicity of the debt. People who wish to buy the debtor's properties are not aware that he owes money. Therefore in order to protect them, we do not allow the creditor to collect from משעבדי; unless there is a signed שטר which provides sufficient publicity, so that the buyers are aware of the lien on the debtor's properties. They buy then at their own risk.

⁴ The הצמדה בדין provides sufficient publicity so that everyone is aware of the debtor's obligation.

⁵ The marginal gloss changes this to הגוזל עצים קה.א.

Or you may also say that a debt which is written in the תורה is considered as if it was written in a שטר, and he is obligated to the extent that one may (even) collect from his נכסים משועבדים. The obligation of paying damages is כתובה בשטר; it is therefore considered ממשעבדי and can be collected.

SUMMARY

The reason the ניזק may collect from the לוקח is either because the עמד בדין was עמד בדין was ניזק (before the sale); or ככתובה בשטר דמיא, is, is, מלוה הכתובה בשטר דמיא.

THINKING IT OVER

תוספות second answer is that נזיקין is a מלוה הכתובה שלוה which is ככתובה בשטר מלוה בשטר איז איז שלוה שלוה which is מלוה שלוה אוליש. However, even if it is considered ככתובה בשטר, but there is no קול 9 Why should the suffer?!

9 ~ "

 $^{^6}$ קידושין יג,ב. See תוספות there המר ד"ה, that it is ככתובה כתובה in reference that there is an obligation on the יורשים to pay what is due (but not on the לקוחות). See 'Thinking it over'.

⁷ The תורה states that the מזיק must pay the ניזק; something that we may not have known on our own. By a loan, however, the payment is collected due to a mutual understanding; even if it were not written in the תורה.

⁸ See footnote # 6.

⁹ See (however) תוספות יד,ב ד"ה ש"מ.

 $^{^{10}}$ See (אות רי"ג) ובל"י (אות כה) חי' ר"נ, סוכ"ד (אות כה)