## But the משנה states; 'this one and this one' – יתני

והא זה וזה קתני –

## **OVERVIEW**

The גמרא challenges the assumption that the claims of זה אומר אני מצאתיה וכו' and זה אומר are one and the same case. This cannot be since the terms זה אומר are repeated twice once by אני מצאתיה and one by כולה שלי.

איכא דוכתי דפריך כי האי גוונא ואיכא דוכתי דלא פריך: [עי׳ תוס׳ בכורות דף לא: ד״ה א״כ]: There are places where the גמרא asks in this manner, and there are places that the משנה does not ask this type of a question; why is the משנה does ask.

## **SUMMARY**

The משנה occasionally comments on a repetitious משנה and at other times it ignores the issue.

## THINKING IT OVER

According to the בכורות in  $^2$ בכורות that when the repetition adds additional explanation, the גמרא does not question why is there a repetition; then in our case, why does the גמרא ask concerning the repetition, since the repetition teaches us something new; that ראיה לא קני?

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> מבורות in תוספות is explaining himself better; however in those places where the מברא does asks, why does the תוא repeat himself, that is because in these places the repetition does not add anything to the explanation. It would therefore seem (that since our מברא does ask the question), that if our משנה was discussing only one case (of מציאה), nothing is gained by the repetition. See (however), 'Thinking it over'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See footnote # 1.