An average boat holds thirty *Kur*

סתם ספינה בת תלתין כורין –

OVERVIEW

The ברייתא מפינה taught that if one added an additional ספינה, the renter is liable. on a ספינה, the renter is liable. Car derived from this ברייתא that an average מפינה can carry thirty כור Our מברא מברא with a seemingly contradictory גמרא.

משמע דתוספת אחד משלשים ² ואם כן מדתנא סאה לגמל משמע דמשא גמל כור - It seems that the addition necessary to hold the שוכר liable is one thirtieth more than it can normally carry. Therefore, since our משנה requires an addition of a came for a camel (for the שוכר to be liable), it seems that the regular load of a camel is a (שאה thirty).

asks: תוספות

יקשה לרבינו יצחק בן מאיר דבפרק מי שהחשיך (שבת דף קנה,ב) אמר רב ירמיה מדפתי - And the ריב"ם has a difficulty, for רב ירמיה מדפתי stated in פרק מי שהחשיך, relating an unusual incident -

לדידי חזי לי ההוא טייעא דאוכלה כורא ואטענה כורא -'I saw this Arab merchant who fed his camel one כור and loaded upon her one 'כור' (this was an unusual sight) -

משמע דשאר גמלים אין טוענים כל כך אלא ההוא שהיה משונה -This indicates that other (normal) camels cannot bear so much weight, only that camel, which was an unusual one, could bear such weight, and from our גמרא it seems that a כור can carry a כור!

מוספות answers:

- ⁴ייש לומר כדפירש הקונטרס התם ⁵ דאטענה כורא למזונות אותו הדרך לבד שאר המשאוי And one can say, as רש"י explained there that he loaded her with a סור of food for the duration of that trip, besides the rest of the load (which was [presumably] much more than a סור).

וכן נראה דאין סברא שיוכל להאכיל לגמל אפילו על ידי אביסה כדי משאו בפעם אחת:
And it also seems so, that it is necessary to explain that אמרא explains it (even without the contradiction with our גמרא), for it is not feasible that one

¹ This follows the rule (mentioned in our משנה) that an addition of 1/30th, makes the שוכר liable.

 $^{^2}$ If a ship can carry thirty סאה, then the overload of $1/30^{th}$ is one סאה. See 'Thinking it over'.

 $^{^3}$ See רש"י, there ד"י.

 $^{^4}$ The גמרא there is discussing the ability to force feed animals, not how much they can carry.

should be able to feed a camel at one time, even by force feeding him, an amount of food which is **equal to the load** the camel **can carry.**⁵ Therefore we must conclude that the load mentioned there, was only the camel's food for the trip besides his load.

SUMMARY

A normal camel can carry a כור. The unusual camel carried a כור food for the trip besides the rest of its load.

THINKING IT OVER

תוספות deduces the ratio of $1/30^{th}$ for an overload, from the statement of רב פפא. Seemingly משנה could have derived it from our משנה, which states that an addition of three קבין for a donkey (which can normally carry a סאה 15 לתך – 90 makes the שוכר liable. This is the same ratio of 1/30th. Why derive it from רב ?!פפא

⁵ A camel can certainly carry much more that he can eat at one time (even when force fed).

⁶ See footnote # 3.