ולימא ליה הקדש עד האידנא כולי

## And הקדש should say to him, until now, etc.

## **OVERVIEW**

 $-^2$ לענין עשה עמי ואיני זגך ניחא דלא אמר ליה הקדש הכי Regarding the presumed rule of עשה עמי ואיני ונד, it is understood why does not say this to the slave -

אף על גב דרבו מצי אמר ליה

**even though his master can tell** the slave עשה עמי ואיני עשה עמי . The reason הקדש does not tell the slave , is -

משום דפשיטא דהקדש ניחא ליה שלא ימות עבדו ברעב:

Because it is obvious that  $\pi$ קדש prefers that its slave should not die of hunger.<sup>4</sup>

## **SUMMARY**

has no interest in telling its slave עשה עמי ואיני זנך.

## **THINKING IT OVER**

 $\overline{\text{Can with tell the slave}}$  עשה עמי ואיני זנך (if the master can)? $^5$ 

\_

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  הוספות is now discussing the הו"א when we assumed that the master has the right to say עשה עמי ואיני זנך (and therefore he can be מקדיש ידי עבדו); see 'Overview'.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  אדש should seemingly also be able tell the slave, עשה עמי ואיני זנך, and you cannot work to pay off the loan, for all your work belongs to הקדש; just as according to the מסקנא, the גמרא asks that אמסענא should prevent the slave from working to pay off the העדפה.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See מ""מ, that it does not mean he will die from hunger; rather he will have to beg for food.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> However, according to the מסקנא that the עבד is working to pay off for the extra food, the גמרא initially thought that הקדש can (and should) prevent him from working for himself (for extra food), when he can be working for עבר . The גמרא concludes that עבר prefers that the עבר have the extra food.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See סוכ"ד אות מב.