A woman does not know how to grant

- אשה 1 לא ידעה לאקנויי

OVERVIEW

רמי בר חמא queried what would be the ruling if a woman initially owned a tablet and she was now in possession of it and a גע was written on the tablet; do we assume she granted the tablet to the husband (so that it belonged to him) and he wrote the גע on his tablet, or do we assume that she does not know how to grant and it was never the husband's (so she is not divorced). תוספות clarifies the query.

 2 בדבר שהוא מחזיר לה ולא גמרה ומיקניא ליה אלא בתורת שאילה משאילתו לוThe explanation of the phrase אשה לא ידעה לאקנויי means that she does not grant something which he returns to her, so she did not completely grant him the (since she knows she is receiving it back), but rather she merely lends it to him as a temporary loan. 3

מוספות anticipates a difficulty

אף על גב דאמרינן לעיל⁴ דדמי כתיבת סופר אקנו ליה רבנן מדידה ⁵

Even though that רבנן מדידה previously stated that the רבנן granted the husband her money for the scribe writing the גט, here too let us say that the טבלא −

- דמי כתיבת סופר to him the מקנה במי כתיבת כחיפות to him the -

מכל מקום טבלא דידה לא מקנו ליה⁶

Nevertheless the טבלא were not מקנה to him her טבלא.

asks: תוספות

- ואם האשה כותבת את גיטה בסמוך דפשיט מינה בסמוך מתניתין (דכותבת דכותבת) ואם האשר וכי לא ידע מתניתין ו

⁵ The woman pays the fee for the scribe, nevertheless it is considered as if the husband wrote it (וכתב לה), since the transfer her money to the husband, so it is as if he is paying the scribe who is writing on behalf of the husband.

 $^{^{-1}}$ In our גמרא text the מהרש"ל deletes the word אשה.

² The word מוספות (ותוספות (in תוספות) is oftentimes used to negate the simple meaning. Here too תוספות negates that אשה לא ידע means that a woman does not know how to be מקנה, for this is patently not true.

³ This causes the גע to be פסול, since he must give her a גע which belongs to him, as the תורה, writes ונתן.

⁴ ه ٦

⁶ See מהרש"א that מהרש" does not mean necessarily טבלא, but rather it would apply to parchment as well. See תוספות later (footnote # 10). See 'Thinking it over'.

כב,ב.

⁸ כא,א כא.

And if you will say; and did not רב"ח know the האשה כותבת of האשה, from which רב אשי shortly resolved the query where it clearly states רב אשי (the woman may write her של), provided she grants it to her husband, proving that a woman knows לאקנויי –

מוספות answers:

-ייש לומר דמצי לדחויי דכותבת על קלף דבעל אלא שנותנת שכר הכתיבה ויש לומר -And one can say; that it is possible to reject this proof, and when the משנה states it means that she writes it on the husband's parchment, but she pays the writing fee -

ורב אשי דפשיט מינה משמע ליה דאיירי בקלף 10 שלה:

And משנה it seems to him that רב"ה who resolved the query of רב"ה the משנה is in a case where it is her parchment. Therefore it proves that לאקנויי.

SUMMARY

The דבנן granted the husband the שכר סופר which the woman paid, but they will not grant him her parchment; nevertheless even if the parchment is hers she is divorced because a woman knows to be מקנה the parchment even though she will shortly receive it back.

THINKING IT OVER

אוספות states that even though the מקנה were מקנה to him the שכר סופר, but they were α מקנה to him her טבלא (or parchment). What is the difference between שכר סופר (which they are מקנה) and the טבלא (which they were not מקנה)? 12

¹¹ See footnote # 6.

⁹ Regarding the שכר כתיבה we already know that the מקנה are מקנה it to him; however our question here is when the שבלא (or the parchment) belongs to the woman and בי"ד is not מקנה that to her husband, whether we say טבלא or not (in a case were the item is eventually returned to her).

¹⁰ See footnote # 6.

¹² See מ"מ # 166-7. בל"י אות תצב and בח"מ.