### – אחר של אחד וזרעים של אחר

### A flowerpot belonging to one, and the plants belonging to another

#### **Overview**

The גמרא teaches us that if one owns a flowerpot and the other owns the plants in the flowerpot, if they wish to transfer ownership, the plant owner can acquire the עציץ through משיכה, however the בעל העציץ cannot acquire the זרעים במשיכה, since it is considered like קרקע and needs to be acquired בחזקה. Our חוספות discusses the advantage of teaching us this rule in this particular manner.

-----

מוספות comments:

הוא הדין דהוה מצי לאשמועינן הכל בעציץ וזרעים של אחד<sup>1</sup>

It is just as true that the גמרא could have informed us all these laws in a situation where the זרעים and the זרעים belonged to one person -

- אלא לרבותא נקט הכי דאף על גב דבעל עציץ קונה זרעים לא קנה Rather the גמרא mentioned this situation for a novelty, that even though it is the owner of the עציץ who is acquiring the זרעים, nevertheless he does not acquire the (with משיכה) -

אף על פי² שהם בתוך העציץ שלו:

Even though the זרעים are within his עציץ.

# **Summary**

There is a novelty that the בעל העציץ does not acquire the זרעים which are in his עציץ.

# **Thinking it over**

- 1. Why indeed $^3$  cannot the בעל העציץ be קונה through קנין חצר (like the cases of כליו של , which are considered his חצר)? $^4$
- 2. Why cannot we learn this ruling by אדר של אחד "עציץ", where he sold both to one person and he made a משיכה (in the עציץ) and nevertheless he is not זרעים the זרעים?! $^6$

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The ממרא could have said if he sells the אנציץ, only משיכה is required, but if he sells the דרעים we require חזקה we require

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> One may have assumed that since the זרעים are in his עציץ, he does not need to make a חוקה (he should be קונה on account of זרעים since they are in his עציץ [see 'Thinking it over']), the גמרא teaches that nevertheless he must make a עציץ וורעים. We would not know this עציץ וורעים של אחד if it was by עציץ וורעים של אחד.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See footnote # 2 (in the parenthesis).

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  See חתם חתם and נחלת משה.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See footnote # 1.

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  See אוצר מפרשי אוצר # 20-24.