# לפי שאינו בתורת גיטין וקדושין -

#### Because he is not included in the laws of divorce and betrothal

### **Overview**

The גמרא concluded that an עבד cannot receive a גו on behalf of a woman since he is not גמרא Our תוספות reconciles our גמרא with a seemingly contradictory גמרא.

-----

תוספות replies to an anticipated difficulty:

והא דמתגרשת בעבד שלה<sup>2</sup> היינו מטעם<sup>3</sup> חצר:

And this that she becomes divorced through her own עבד; that it an account of שליח, but not that he is a שליח.

#### **Summary**

A woman can be divorced if the גם is given to her קנין חצר on account of קנין חצר.

## **Thinking it over**

There is a dispute whether the משום קנין is משום ידה משום סר משום מי משום שליחות משום.  $^4$  How will we answer תוספות question if we maintain הצר משום שליחות for since the עבד is on account of שליחות and an עבד cannot be a קנין חצר  $^5$ 

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  An עבד כנעני cannot be מקדש a woman; if he is מקדש her it is invalid; she is not מקדשת; it is self-understood that he cannot divorce a woman either.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The אמר גמרא previously on אמר כא,א states אמר אמר that if the husband gave the גע to her געד, she is מגורשת, but how can that be since he cannot become a שליה to deliver a גע.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The עבד is like her property (her אבר [courtyard]). The rule is that a person's קונה is חצר for him as if it was placed in his hand. Therefore if he gave the עבד to her עבד, she acquires the גע through קנין חצר (but not through שליהות). If however he sends a עבד with an עבד (not her עבד, she is not divorced since he cannot be a שליה.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See בבא מציעא י,ב.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See תפארת יעקב.