## Here too, it is an enactment; – הכא נמי גזירה שמא יאמרו גיטה קודם לבנה Perhaps they will say her *Get* preceded her son

## **OVERVIEW**

The גמרא explained that the reason the סופר should leave out the date when writing a מן for an ארוסה (according to the מ"ד משום פירי) is because we are concerned if the writes the current date and he will marry (and divorce) her at a later date, and she will have a child after the date of the גט; this will cause many uncomfortable rumors about the situation. חוספות discusses this concern.

\_\_\_\_\_

מוספות asks:

ואם תאמר מה קפידא הוא שיכתוב הסופר זמן -

And if you will say; what concern is there if the סופר writes the current date - והא ליכא למיחש שיבא לגרש בגט זה אחר נישואין

Since there is no concern that he will divorce her with this גם after the נישואין - - יישואין משום פירי מיחדם לא יחתמו לו¹ דחזו שהוא מוקדם ואיכא למיחש בנישואין משום פירי לא יחתמו לו¹ דחזו שהוא מוקדם ואיכא למיחש בנישואין משום פירי For the witnesses will not sign this גט for him, for they will see that it is predated, and by a פירי there is concern for a pre-dated גט, because of פירי?!

מוספות answers:

- ואומר רבינו תם דכיון שרואין העדים שכתב בגט ארוסתי ואחר הנשואין אחר ארוסתי שרואין העדים שכתב בגט ארוסתי is written in the גט they will sign it even after the נשואין -

כי יסברו דעודה ארוסה

For they will assume that she is still an ארוסה, so there is no פירות issue, since by an ארוסה the husband does not receive פירות –

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The עדים are not signing now when the טופס is writing the טופס (for there are no names and the husband did not tell them to sign, etc.), rather they sign when the גע is ready to be given after the נישואין (that is where there is the concern of נישואין). The עדים however will not sign it because they see it is a pre-dated גע which is invalid for the נשואה (שואה a pre-dated). See 'Thinking it over' # 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The husband has a right to eat the fruits of his wife's assets during the נישואין; however he loses this right when he divorces her. The date on the מי precedes the actual divorce, therefore the עדים will not sign this על, because it will deprive the husband from the פירות (between the date and the actual divorce) to which his is entitled. It will hurt the customers who bought פירות from the husband before the divorce and after the date on the על (which preceded the divorce). The woman will present this pre-dated מחספות and force the לקוחות back the פירות של which were purchased after the date in the על, when in truth they are entitled to these פירות since there was no divorce yet. [מוספות] (seemingly) could have said that they will not sign it (after the jumin') out of concern that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Therefore once they sign the earlier date, people will say גיטה קודם לבנה.

asks: תוספות

יאם תאמר כשיניח מקום הזמן נמי ויכתבו זמן ביום גרושין -And if you will say, even if the סופר leaves the date blank and they will write the date on the day of the divorce, nevertheless -

- איכא למיחש שיאמרו גיטה קודם לבנה כיון שרואין שכתוב בגט ארוסתי There still is the concern that people will say, גיטה קודם לבנה, since they see that 'ארוסתי' is written in the גט -

וסבורין שלא ניסת לו מעולם 5 -

So they will assume that she never married him -

וגם יפסידו הלקוחות פירות שמכר להם הבעל שלא כדין - -

For people will say she was an ארוסה and he is not entitled to פירות!

מוספות answers:

- ויש לומר דלקוחות לא יקנו פירות אם לא שידעו שנשואה היתה ויביאו עדים על כך And one can say; that לקוחות לקוחות from the husband, unless they know that she was a נשואה, and they will bring witnesses to substantiate it, if she claims she never was a נשואה -

רגם על בנה ידקדקו ויראו שנתעברה לפני הזמן הכתוב בגט ויתברר הדבר שניסת?

And also regarding her son, people will investigate and realize that she became pregnant before the date which was written in the גם, and the fact will be established that she was married (not only an ארוסה) –

חוספות offers an alternate solution:

- אי נמי יש לדבר קול שנישאת אלא שאין זמן הנישואין ידוע כל כך

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> We are permitting the סופר to write the text of an ארוסה, where he writes ארוסתי. Leaving the date blank does not resolve the issue of גישואין, if the husband uses this גע to divorce her after the נשואין.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> People will say the child was born without נשואין.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> There was נשואין, so the husband owns the פירות and may sell them. However the woman will later claim (based on the ארוסה גט) that there was never any נשואין and therefore the husband has no rights to the פירות, and she will [unfairly] force the לקוחות to pay her back (or return) the פירות, which her husband (rightfully) sold to them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The question is that there is seemingly no difference whether the סופר writes the current date or not, for in either case there is the concern of ניטה קודם לבנה and פירות.!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> They will not buy פירות from the husband unless they know that there was נישואין, for they know that ארוסה לית ליה, and if they buy it during אירוסין the woman will take it back.

 $<sup>^9</sup>$  Let us assume that the סופר מיש הייר מיש מיש מיש מיש מיש מיש מיש מיש מאט מישר. The child was born ר"ח. The child was born מופר הע"א. He divorced his wife on ר"ח השון תש"א. Therefore, if the סופר writes the date of אדר תש"א, people will say גיטה קודם לבנה since he was born eleven months after the מ. However if we write the actual date of ר"ח השון תש"א, we will know that the child was conceived (before the divorce) while they were still married.

Or you may also say; גישואין has publicity; however the precise date of the וישואין is not known that well, so if the date of the actual divorce is written -

וידעו דמה שכתוב בגט ארוסתי לפי שנכתב קודם נישואין -Then people will know that this word 'ארוסתי', which is written in the גע is because the גישואין was written before the גישואין, but there will be no concern regarding גיטה קודם לבנה and פירות,  $^{10}$  since it is known that she was a - נשואה -

אבל אם נכתב זמן של קודם נישואין יאמרו כל שעה דגיטה קודם לבנה<sup>11</sup> However if the date prior to the נשואין was written (the date on which the סופר wrote the ארוסה גט, people will continually say that גיטה קודם לבנה –

asks: תוספות

אבל קשה דלמה לן טעמא דגיטה קודם לבנה -

However there is a difficulty; for why do we need the reason of גיטה קודם לבנה in order to explain why the date needs to be left blank -

כיון שהיא נשואה 12 וכתוב בו זמן של אירוסין יפסל למאן דאמר משום פירי - 2011 Since she is a גשואה and it is dated from the time of אירוסין, so it is פסול, according to the one who maintains that זמן was instituted by גיטין because of לירי, for this גט is -

כמו גט שאין בו זמן כלל שאין שום הוכחה מתוך זמן הכתוב בגט זה: Like a גע which has no זמן at all, for there is no proof from the זמן which is written in this גם as to when the husband still has פירות. Our תוספות does not offer an answer. 14

<sup>13</sup> See אהרש"א who understands הוספות question to be that the בסול should be מהרש", since the woman may lose out on the פירות (like a נט שאין בו זמן). The woman was divorced on ר"ח ניסן the husband sold גי until יר"ח, the wir (which was written as a גט לארוסה was dated from ר"ח, אדר. The will claim that the date on the גי is meaningless, since we all know that she is a ארוסה (not an ארוסה) and the woman may not have proof that she was divorced in ניסן and not in אייר. See footnote # 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The date will prove that she conceived prior to this date and that the husband has פירות up to this date, since we all know that she was a נשואה. See 'Thinking it over' # 2.

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  Let us assume it was written and dated on ד"ח. The נשואין took place on ר"ח, the baby was conceived ר"ח, the baby was conceived טבת, and the actual divorce was ה"ח. People will say the נישואין was on ה' טבת and the baby was conceived out of wedlock. However, we are not concerned that the לקוחות will lose פירות (for the wife will claim I was divorced from the נשואה), since it was a גט ארוסה and we all know that she was a נשואה, the לקוחות will argue that it is a wrong date and it is up to the woman to prove the right date when there was tirm and when she was divorced (for the נישואין are the מוחזקים). However regarding the gossip about the child, there is no way to prevent it; if they will write the date when the גט אירוסין was written, people will always suspect that the child was conceived before the נישואין; it will be hard to quell these rumors.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  This question is on the א"נ which assumes that נישואין יש לה קול.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See תוספות הרא"ש who answers this question (that there is a קול). It seems from his answer that the תוספות הרא"ש did not understand the question as the מהרש"א explained it. (see footnote # 13)

## **SUMMARY**

עדים will sign a pre-dated גט אירוסין (even after the נישואין), for they will assume that she is still an ארוסה (so there is no פירי issue). An actual divorce date will insure that there are no problems of גיטה קודם לבנה.

## THINKING IT OVER

1. חוספות asks that it should not matter that the סופר will write the current date in an ארוסה גט will not sign it (after the נישואין). However it is possible that if we allow the סופר to write the date, the husband will have the ארוסה it while she is still an ארוסה, and they will comply (since ארוסה אין לה פירי), and he may hold the גיטה קודם לבנה so there remains the concern of גיטה קודם לבנה (פירות  $^{16}$ )?

2. תוספות writes that if they will write the correct מוספות in the שטר the לקוחות will not lose the לקוחות even though it states ארוסה. However the problem is the reverse, for if the husband sells them פירות after the actual divorce, when she will show the date on the עו (which preceded the sale), the לקוחות will claim that this is the date of the אירוסין גט and were divorced after this date (and after the husband sold us the "פירות")!

 $^{16}$  See מהרש"א הארוך.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See footnote # 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See footnote # 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See נחלת משה.