# – ושמעה וידעה ולא מינסבא ותקנת עגונות הוא דאיכא

# And she will hear and know about the ביטול and she will not remarry, so there is the benefit of preventing עגונות.

## <u>Overview</u>

The term *used* generally applies to a woman that was married; however the husband's whereabouts are unknown. There is a possibility that he died. She cannot remarry for her husband may still be alive. However she has no married life, since she is not together with her husband.

תוספות anticipates the following difficulty:

## אף על גב דבדין לא מינסבא -

**Even though that** in this case **it is lawfully** justified **that she not remarry**; since he was גט אר מבטל she is still married to her original husband. How can this be called 'תקנת עגונות?

responds, that even in this situation – תוספות

#### חשיב עיגון -

**It is considered an עיגון.** She was expecting to be divorced and be able to remarry. Maintaining her old status as a married woman to a man who chose to divorce her is a form of עיגון.

שיגון brings a support that this type of restriction is considered עיגון

#### דהכי נמי אמרינן בפרק כל הגט (לעיל כו,ב) -

**For we also same the same idea in פרק כל הגט;** that even if lawfully she cannot remarry, nevertheless she is considered an עגונה. The גמרא there is discussing that we do allow the or write uleo גיטין? because of תקנת עיגונות. For -

#### ובדין הוא אפילו טופס לא לכתוב -

The lawful way would be that the סופר should not write even the text of the  $3_{33}$ 

## - אלא דזימנין דבעי למיזל למדינת הים ולא אשכח ספרא

But the reason the  $\pi$  cave permitted the older to write the older is because that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nothing changed in her status from before the גט was written and subsequently בעל by the בעל. She is the same אשת איש as before. In a usual case of עיגונה, we are concerned that perhaps the husband is dead and עיגוני, she is permitted to remarry. Here there is no such concern.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  The of the universal text of the universal text of the particulars; i.e. the names etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If we allow him to write the טופס he may mistakenly write the תורף as well. Then it would be מדאורייתא

sometimes the husband may need to go overseas and he will not find a סופר who can write a טופס for him immediately, since he will have to write the טופס as well –

שביק לה ואזיל ומיעגנה ויתיב -He will therefore leave his wife without a גט and will go overseas and she will remain (sitting) as an עגונה. We see that even though she lawfully cannot remarry nevertheless she is considered an עגונה. Notwithstanding that there is no concern that the husband died, nevertheless she is an עגונה, since she is not together with a husband.

## <u>Summary</u>

The term עגונה is sometimes used to define the status of a woman who is definitely married, but not living together with her husband.

## THINKING IT OVER

Can we distinguish between the situation here and the situation in פרק כל הגט? Is it possible to argue that here she is less likely to be considered an עגונה than the case there?<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See תפארת יעקב.