קטנים כל המחזיק בהן זכה בהן –

Whoever possesses the minors acquires them

OVERVIEW

The גמרא cites the view of ברייתא in a ברייתא that if a גמרא died (the adult slaves acquire themselves and are freed, however), regarding the minor slaves whoever possesses them acquires them as slaves (while the עבדים maintains that the עבדים are also freed). אבא שאול

– דאין להן יד לזכות בעצמן

For the קטנים do not have a 'hand' (the capability) to acquire themselves -

וכן בפרק מי שמת (בבא בתרא קנו,ב) גבי פלוגתא דזכין לקטן¹ ואין זכין לגדול² –

And similarly in פרק מי שמת regarding the dispute whether we acquire on behalf of a קטן (since he cannot acquire on his own) but we do not acquire on behalf of a גדול (since he can acquire on his own) –

תוספות anticipates the following difficulty:

- ואף על גב דאית ליה זכיה במציאה כדאמרינן בהניזקין (לקמן נט,ב) אחר במציאה משנה במציאה כדאמרינן בהניזקין ולקמן נט,ב) אחר פרק הניזקין משנה states in פרק הניזקין, it is evident that a זכיה does have זכיה –

responds:

- ⁵היינו מדרבנן מפני דרכי שלום finch לא שייד ההוא טעמא

That מציאה is only ארכי שלום because of דרכי שלום, however here by a גר שמת that reason is not applicable.

מוספות asks:

ואם תאמר בפרק לולב וערבה (סוכה מו,ב) אמר לא ליקני איניש לולבא לינוקא ביום טוב ראשון –

¹ If one wants to gift something to a קטן who is not present, he may give it to a third party, that this third party should acquire it (by making a קטן) on behalf of the קטן.

² This is the view of כ"ש לגדול while יהושע ר' maintains כ"ש לגדול and כ"ש לגדול. The reason why זכין לקטן ואין זכין לאטן ואין זכין לאטן. The reason why זכיה according to א"ר, is because a זכיה (so he can acquire it on his own) but a אסין has no זכיה (so the only way for him to acquire it is to be מזכה through another). See footnote # 11.

³ If a אָטָן, it belongs to him and it is considered stealing if one takes it away from him.

⁴ דרכי שלום means peaceful paths. If people would take away by force the דרכי שלום, they (or their parents) would start fighting with them to keep what they found and feel that it belongs to them.

⁵ The קטנים will not fight for their freedom; they will assume they belong now to the new master (and there is no parent to fight for them). See 'Thinking it over' # 2.

And if you will say; פרק לולב וערבה, a person should not grant his פרק לולב to a child on the first day of סוכות (before the adult he is יוצא), the reason is -

דינוקא מקני קני אקנויי לא מקני⁶ –

Because a child can acquire, but he cannot grant to others -

תופסות rejects a possible solution:

וליכא למימר דהתם בפיקח כגון צרור וזרקו אגוז ונוטלו –

And we cannot say that the גמרא there in סוכה is discussing a bright child, that if he is given a pebble he throws it away, but if he is given a walnut he takes it, and the rule of יוירא was only by such a child, this is not so -

- דהא בפרק קמא דקדושין (דף כב,ב) בההוא עובדא דאמר ליה שלוף לי מסנאי דהא בפרק קמא דקדושין (דף כב,ב) בההוא עובדא said to the slave, 'take off my shoe and bring it to my house' -

- משמע דהוה בו דעת וקאמר התם איכא דאמרי קטן הוה איכא בו דעת וקאמר התם איכא איכא דאמרי קטן בו It seems that the slave was mindful, and the אבר says there, some say this עבד was a 9 -

מוספות answers:

ויש לומר דדעת אחרת מקנה אותן שאני:

And one can say; it is different if there is another mind granting a gift to minors;¹¹ in that case a קטן can be קונה.

⁶ If the person will give his קטן (before he was יוצא, the קטן will acquire the קטן, however when the קטן, however when the קטן, the adult it will still belong to the קטן (for the קטן cannot be adult will not be able to be מקיים מפוים since it does not belong to him and the תורה states לולב לכם.

אמר מר זוטרא was making a מר ווטרא visit to אר' יהודה הינדאה ווערא (who was a אר וורשים לו יורשים. (גר שאין לו יורשים א was about to pass on, he told the slave of ר"י to take off his shoe and bring it home. The intent of מר זוטרא was to acquire this slave through קנין חזקה so that at the moment when ר"י would pass on, the slave would be in the employ of אר זוטרא (and would not be able to acquire himself as a מר זוטרא). Some say this slave was a אר זוטרא needed to take this action for otherwise the slave would become free at the moment ווטרא passed on. However if the slave would have been a קטנים כל המחזיק בהם זכה בחומר בחומר

⁸ The איכא disagree with אבא and maintain that even an עבד קטן of a גר is freed, therefore מר זוטרא needed to act as he did regarding the גר קטן.

⁹ However according to the first opinion (that of אבא שאול) if the slave was a קטן even if he was a בר דעת (as the story indicates), he would not become a בן הורין. This proves that even a קטן בר דעת cannot be זוכה, why is it by לולב לולב קטן.

 $^{^{10}}$ Therefore by לולב where the adult is קטן to the קטן, the קטן can be קונה (even מציאה); however by מציאה or by אונה where there is no זכיה לקטן, then there is no זכיה לקטן.

¹¹ It will be necessary to say that דעת אחרת מקנה applies only when the פקח is a קטן; otherwise there will be a difficulty from the abovementioned גמרא (פרק מי שמת (פרק מי שמת זכין לקטן ואין זכין לקטן ואין זכין לגדול 6.

SUMMARY

A קטן can acquire for himself only if someone else is granting him the item (and he is a בר דעת), otherwise there is no זכיה for a קטן except by מציאה because of דרכי because of שלום.

THINKING IT OVER

- 1. תוספות states that קטנים have no יד to acquire themselves, as a reason why according to אבא the rule is כל המחזיק בהם זכה בהם. Should we infer that the רבנן who maintain that even the קטנים acquire themselves as בני חורין, argue and maintain that pd do have a יד לזכות?
- 2. תוספות distinguishes between מציאה where a קטן can acquire it זרכי שלום because of דרכי שלום, and גר, where there is no זכיה לקטן because the idea of דרכי שלום is inapplicable. This indicates that if it were applicable the גר קטן would acquire himself as a מתיר however since עבד he is an עבד, how can we be מתיר מתיר because if מדארייתא (of 13) because if דרכי שלום (of 13) איסור דאורייתא

¹² See footnote # 5.

¹³ See תפא"י.