There is a date in the גט

רש בו זמו -

OVERVIEW

תוספות will explain the significance of adding the phrase יש בו זמן, in the case of אין בו אלא ע"א היש בו אלא ע"א.

- נראה דהוא הדין דאין בו זמן

It seems to חוספות that the דין would be the same if there was no date in the the יגי: the the יגי would still be 1

Why is it necessary then, for the משנה to state the case of an ע"א in a situation where there was אוספות (זמן explains:

- אלא לאשמועינן אף על גב דיש בו זמן לא תנשא לכתחילה

However, the purpose of stating יש בו זמן is to inform us that even if there is זמן in the גם, nevertheless she should initially not remarry² on the basis of this גם.

SUMMARY

The משנה teaches us that a כשר and an מיא signed it (the כשר is כשר signed it (the לכתחילה, but) nevertheless the woman may not remarry לכתחילה on the basis of this גט.

THINKING IT OVER

What would be the דין in a case of כתב ידו וע"א and there is זמן; would she be permitted to marry לכתחלה?

_

¹ Inserting ומן in the גט is a מגורשת. They are not פוסל the ולד born to a woman after she was מגורשת with a מאור has no מן. For the reason why an ע"א is sufficient even according to "ר"מ ([even] if we maintain תוס' ד"ה שלשה), see previous תוס' ד"ה.

² See previous תוס' ד"ה שלשה for the reason.