## - חיישינן שמא זיכה לו על ידי אחר

# We are concerned perhaps he transferred it through another party

#### **OVERVIEW**

The ברייתא states if the master says, 'I emancipated my slave', and the slave said, 'he did not' [the rule (seemingly) is (according to תוספות) that the slave is emancipated, for], we are concerned that the master was מזכה the שטר שחרור the אטר שחרור, through another party. The issue תוספות discusses is if it is only a concern that perhaps he was מזכה ע"י אחר, how can we consider the slave a בת חורין and allow him to marry a בת חורין.

# האי חיישינן<sup>3</sup> הוי ודאי כמו חיישינן שמא במי מילין כתבו<sup>4</sup> (לעיל דף יט,ב):

This word היישינן is to be understood to mean that we are certain that the master freed the salve איי , just like the phrase היישינן שמא במי מילין כתבו mentioned previously in our מסכת, where there too it means we are certain that במי מילין כתבו.

### **SUMMARY**

In the case of the עבד is ודאי משוחרר. The term חיישינן can (occasionally) mean היישינן ודאי.

### THINKING IT OVER

Why does תוספות assume that when the ברייתא here states היישינן it means that the is חיישינן  $^5$  maybe it means only ספק משוחרר?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 'Thinking it over'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The slave is freed since it is a זכון for an עבד to be freed, and זכין לאדם שלא בפניו (without his knowledge).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 'Overview'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> שמואל there ruled that if a man said to his wife here is your גם and gave her a blank piece of paper, she is divorced, because we are concerned that he wrote the מי מילין (water prepared in such a manner that after you write with it, the document appears blank). תוספות (presumably) assumes that she is שמואל for ודאי מגורשת said שמואל (even though the ברייתא states ברייתא), similarly here too (even though the מהרש" states שמואל just as by it means מהרש" asks that the מהרש" אמואל there concludes that she is not אמואל unless the document is treated and the words of the מברא appear (and even then, she is only ספק מגורשת פסף אורשת הספות מוספות prove anything from there?! [It is perhaps possible to explain חוספות, for the ממרא there challenges שמואל from a מקשן assumed that אמואל meant was that she is מקשן assumed that לאמואל meant was that she שמואל here though היישינן see (also). היישינן, even though ודאי מגורשת said paper (and even there would be no challenge. This proves that the ודאי מגורשת שמואל # 118-9.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See footnote # 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See מהרש"א הארוד.