It is not a Get, if he died

מת הוא דאינו גט –

OVERVIEW

The אמנה initially wanted to say that רבא derived his ruling (of משנה) from the משנה, which states that if one gave a גע, with the provision that it should take effect if he does not return within twelve months, and he died within that time; it is not a גע בארא בארא explains the inference; it is not a גע if he died (within the twelve months), however if he became ill within the twelve months and did not return because of the illness, it is a valid גע proving that תוספות העום בגיטין. Our משנה how this is inferred from that משנה

תוספות explains; it is not a גע if he died within the twelve months -

דאין גט לאחר מיתה² הא חלה הרי זה גט -

Since a גם cannot be effective after the death of the husband; however if the husband became ill, and he is still alive after the twelve months it is a valid גם; proving that אין אונס בגיטין.

- 3דאי חלה נמי אינו גט וטעמא דהכא דאינו גט משום אונס

For if we will maintain that by הלה it is also not a גם (like by מת and the reason here why it is not a אונס and by מת is because it is an אונס -

אם כן לישמעינן חלה דהוי אונס מועט וכל שכן מת⁴ -

If indeed it is so, the משנה should have taught us this דלה by אונס בגיטין of אונס בגיטין which is a minimal אונס (and nevertheless it prevents the גט from becoming effective), and we would certainly know that if he died which is a major גט אונס אונס hot be effective –

תוספות responds to an anticipated difficulty: $^{5}\,$

_

¹ Seemingly if by הלה it is a גט, since אין אונס בגיטין, then by מת it should also be a גט (and she should not be זקוקה), since he did not return within the allotted time, and אין אונס בגיטין.

² We assume that the אמ was to become effective when the husband did not return after twelve months. At that point the husband had already died, and cannot issue a גע.

³ We will now assume that when he said הרי"ז גיטך וכר' מכאן ועד י"ב חודש, he meant that the גט should become effective retroactively from the day he gave it, so there is no concern of גט לאחר מיתה, and the reason the גט is not effective is because his not returning was an מת and to חלה and to חלה.

⁴ However since the משנה did not state its case by חלה, but rather by מת, this indicates that the מג is not effective only on account of אין גט לאחר מיתה, but not because of אין אונס בגיטין. See 'Thinking it over'# 1.

⁵ Perhaps the reason why it is not a גע is because "ש אונס בגיטין (see footnote # 3), and the reason he did not mention חלה, is because if the משנה would just state that by הלה it is not a גע (because (because "ש אונס בגיטין), I would not be able to derive that by מו it is not a גע because מת (as opposed to חלה) he wants the מלה to become effective (retroactively) so she will not have to go through the יבום process (which does not apply to חלה).

דהשתא אכתי לא אסיק אדעתיה דבמת איכא למימר ניחא ליה⁶ דלא תפול קמי יבם: For as of now it did not as of yet enter the mind of the גמרא the idea that by מת the idea that by מה it is possible to say that he prefers that the גט be effective, in order that she should not endure the יבום process. Therefore there is no other reason why it should not be a גט by חלה by חלה ti it is not a גט by חלה (on account of יש אונס בגיטין).

SUMMARY

It is not a גט by מת because אין גט לאחר מיתה. At this point the גמרא was unaware of the idea that ניחא ליה דלא תפול קמי יבם.

THINKING IT OVER

- 1. Seemingly almost the entire תוספות (until 'דהשתא אכתי וכו') is a repetition of פרש"י; why is תוספות repeating it?
- 2. It seems according to תוספות that the only way we can infer from this משנה is if we assume that 'ניחא לא אסיק אדעתיה לא אסיק אדעתיה וכו'. However, why cannot we say that it was אין אדעתיה that (ניחא ליה וכו', and nevertheless we can derive from this משנה that משנה אין אונס בגיטין, and nevertheless we can derive from משנה that משנה that משנה (if יש אונס בגיטין) why does not the משנה mention that it is not a מל משנה משנה משנה from חלה from חלה for even if we are discussing a case of מעכשיו (where there is no problem of חלה אינס אינס אינס אונס גמור מורס אונס גמור מורס אונס מועט וויס אונס מועט האונס מועט האינס מועט האינס מועט של מועט האינס מועט של אינס מועט של אינס מועט של אינס מועט של אינס בגיטין that by אין אונס בגיטין!

_

⁶ This idea is first entertained later on this עמוד, but not as of yet. See 'Thinking it over' # 2.

⁷ See 'רש"י ד"ה מת הא' והב.

⁸ See footnote # 6.