Three women have relations with a *Mooch* - ¹שלש נשים משמשות במוך

Overview

The ברייתא teaches that three woman (a minor, one who is pregnant, and one who is breastfeeding) utilize a מוך when having relations. There is a dispute between רש"י whether this means they are permitted to use a מוך, or that it is beneficial that they use a מוך.

- 3 מירש בקונטרס מותרות לשמש במוך אף על גב דשאר נשים אסורות משום השחתת זרע explained that משמש במוך means that they are permitted to be משמש במוך, for even though other women (not these three) are forbidden to be משמש במוך, because this is considered destroying the seed, nevertheless by these three woman since there is a danger they are permitted.

תוספות disagrees:

ר"ת בהדייהו - מותר לשמש בהדייהו מותר לשמש בהדייהו האין נראה לרבינו תם דהא קטנה⁴ ואילונית⁵ מותר לשמש בהדייהו הא disagrees, for one is permitted to have relations with a minor and with an איילונית even though they cannot conceive -

ולא הוי השחתת זרע כיון שהוא דרך תשמיש⁶ - And it is not considered השחתת since he is having relations in a normal manner, so here too if he is משמש במוך since it is השחתת זרע דרך תשמיש.

The ר"ת offers his interpretation of משמשות במוך:

ימפרש רבינו תם משמשות צריכות לשמש במוך אחר תשמיש ועצה עובה קא משמע לן מפרש רבינו תם משמשות צריכות לשמש במוך explains the meaning of משמשות that it is necessary for these three woman to be משמש במוך after משמש, and the ברייתא is offering us wise counsel –

³ See אר, וישב) לח, ד- where Hashem put ער ואונן to death, as a punishment for השחתת זרע.

¹ A מוך is an absorbent contraceptive type of material.

 $^{^2}$ רד"ה משמשות

⁴ This refers (even) to a קטנה who is younger than 11 years, where there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so there is no possibility of her becoming pregnant (so the possibility of her b

⁵ An אילונית is a woman who does not mature with the characteristic development of a woman. She cannot conceive.

⁶ The ר"ת maintains that there is no need to permit the ג' נשים לשמש במוך, since if it is the דרך תשמיש it is not considered ער השחתת . The איסור of ער ואונן (according to the ר"ת) is if it is done in a manner similar to ער ואונן (see footnote # 3), where it was דרך תשמיש, but not if it is ידרך תשמיש.

⁷ Seemingly according to the ה"ח that it is not considered השחתת, they can insert the מוך even מוך.

 $^{^{8}}$ They should use the מוך to absorb and remove the זרע so there will not be a סכנה.

⁹ See 'Thinking it over'.

תוספות reaffirms פרש"י:

ולרבינו יצחק נראה לקיים פירש הקונטרס - 10

And the ר"י prefers to substantiate - פרש"י

דבריש נדה $(\tau_{\Gamma}, \kappa, \kappa)$ משמע שהמוך הוא במעיה כל שעה¹¹ והוי כמשמש על האבנים ועל העצים - דבריש נדה נדה it seems that the מרא was inside her the entire time of תשמיש, so it is like one in משמש on stones and wood and it would be considered - השחתת זרע

ולא דמי למשמש קטנה ואילונית -

And it is not similar to a קטנה, where it is אחלונית, where it is -

ועוד 12 אפילו היתה נותנת מוך אחר תשמיש אסורה -

And additionally, even if she would place the מוך after תשמיש; she would still be prohibited from doing so -

- דאף על פי שאינה מצווה על פריה ורביה 13 מכל מקום אסורה היא להשחית זרע For even though women are not commanded to procreate, nevertheless she is forbidden from destroying זרע (unless she is from the three women) -

- כדמשמע בנדה (דף יג,א) דתנן כל היד המרבה לבדוק בנשים משובחת באנשים תיקצץ אז דתנן כל היד המרבה לבדוק משנה אז מסכת נדה או מסכת אאוch states, 'every hand of women which increases checking, is praiseworthy, however by men the hand which is should be chopped off' -

ומפרש בגמרא משום דנשים לאו בנות הרגשה נינהו 15-

And the גמרא explains because regarding women they will not become aroused - משמע דאם היו בנות הרגשה הוו אסירי¹⁶

This indicates that if they were בנות הרגשה they too would be prohibited, presumably because they are destroying the -

14 This is a

 $^{^{10}}$ The ר"י is responding to the question of the ר"ת that just as it is מותר לשמש with a קטנה, so too it should be מותר לשמש However the ר"י. distinguishes between the two.

¹¹ בית שמאי there maintains that when a woman sees דם she becomes ממא from then on, but she is not ממא previously for we are not concerned that the בם came but was held back by the כותלי בית הרחם, for if there would have been דם the מוך would not be able to contain it. The גמרא asks but what happens in a case where she is משמשת במוך, where the מוך would certainly hold back the דם; it is evident from the question that the מוך תשמיש, תעי"ש, תשמיש ח. ועיי"ש, תשמיש העי"ש, ועיי"ש, תשמיש העי"ש.

 $^{^{12}}$ Even if we were to say that רש"י means that she uses the מוך after תשמיש to remove the זרע, this would still be forbidden for other woman, except for these three.

¹³ The commandment for פו"ר is only for the men.

¹⁴ This is referring to the men and woman checking themselves whether the women became דְרוֹ, or the men saw קרי; we applaud the women, but we discourage the men from doing this.

¹⁵ The men if they keep checking (their organ) they may become aroused and be מוציא זרע לבטלה, however the woman will not cause any זרע inside them to be ejected, since they are not aroused.

¹⁶ Otherwise if they are permitted to be משחית זרע should have said that גמרא, or something similar to that effect.

תוספות rejects this proof:

ויש לדחות דלאו בנות איסור הרגשה נינהו¹⁷ קאמר:

And one can reject this proof, for the גמרא means that regarding women they are not susceptible to the prohibitions associated with arousal.

Summary

According to תשמשות במוך, only the ג' נשים are permitted to be משמשות and according to the עצה טובה, and according to משמשות במוך the teaching of עצה טובה.

Thinking it over

The ר"ת begins by saying צריכות לשמש במוך (indicating that it is compulsory), and concludes that עצה טובה קמ"ל (indicating that it is optional); how can we resolve this apparent contradiction? 19

_

 $^{^{17}}$ This means that even if they are aroused and expel the זרע there is no problem; for they are not prohibited from doing so, since they are not פו"ר.

¹⁸ See footnote # 9.

 $^{^{19}}$ See אמרי אמרי and מגן גבורים.