## These are considered as one testimony

- הרי אלו עדות אחת

## **OVERVIEW**

The משנה teaches regarding two sets of witnesses who saw a crime from two different windows that if some of the two sets of witnesses can see each other they are considered as one set of witnesses. תוספות explains what is the relevance of this ruling.

-2שאם נמצא אחד מהן קרוב או פסול עדות כולם בטלה

So that if one witness of either set is found to be a relative or a disqualified witness, the entire testimony is invalid -

וכן שאם נמצא אחת מהן זוממת אין נהרגין עד שיזומו כולם 3-And similarly if one set of the witnesses was found to be זוממה, they are not killed (if they are testifying to a capital crime), unless all the sets of עדים who saw each other)<sup>4</sup> are הוזם -

ובטלין נמי עדות כולם בהזמה אחת מהן ֿ דהזמה 6 הוי כנמצא אחד מהן קרוב או פסול: And also the entire testimony is invalidated if there was a הזמה of even only one עד, for הזמה is considered as if one of them was found to be a קרוב או פסול.

## **SUMMARY**

Two sets of עדים who see each other are considered one set regarding קרוב או פסול, (that one disqualifies all) and regarding הזמה (they are not punished unless all were מדום, and a הזמה of one עד disqualifies the entire עדות).

## THINKING IT OVER

Regarding the case of מקצתן רואין אלו את אלו, what is the meaning of מקצתן רואין אלו את אלו, since they were never even there (they are lying)?!<sup>8</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This עד disqualifies the entire testimony if he is a relative to another witness or to any of the parties involved in the crime whether it is the perpetrator or the victim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The rule by any one set of עדים is that if one of them is a קרוב או פסול, the entire עדות is void. These two sets are considered as one set since מקצתן רואין אלו את אלו.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The rule is that we do not implement the punishment of 'געשיתם לו כאשר זמם וגו', unless all the עדים that testified (as one set) were הוום. These two sets are considered as one set.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See 'Thinking it over'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The עדים are not punished, for we only implement כאשר זמם when all the עדים were הוום; however their testimony (of both sets) is invalid since one of them was הוזם (and it was את אלו את אלו אלו את אלו...

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  The עד who was הוזם is found to be an עד שקר, who is פסול לעדות.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See footnote # 4.

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$  See גבורות ארי בד"ה בזמן, קצה"ח סי' לו ס"ק ד ובשיעורי הרב שמואל אות ערב.