Or the warner sees them; they are combined – או מתרה רואה אותן מצטרפין

OVERVIEW

stated that if the two (separate) מתרה מתרה or the מתרה saw them, the two עדים are combined and become a valid עדות (and are able to convict the accused). תוספות questions the case where the מתרה saw them.

 $^{-}$ פירוש 1 על פיהם נהרג דלא חשיבא עדות מיוחדת

The explanation (of מצטרפין) is that he is executed by their testimony, for it is not considered an individual אַדות.

asks: תוספות

- ואם תאמר והיכי מהימני ליה למתרה לומר שראה אותן להרוג את זה And if you will say; but how can we believe the מתרה to say that he saw both עדים, in order to kill the accused

r דבשלמא כשרואין המתרה הרי הן שנים אבל מתרה רואה אותן קשיא - For it is understood in the case where the עדים say they say the מתרה, they are believed since they are two עדים, however there is a difficulty in the case where the מתרה claims that he saw the עדים, how is he believed to the extent that the accused will be executed based on the testimony of an עדים.

מוספות answers:

ויש לומר דמיירי שיש עדים שמעידין שהמתרה רואה אותן אך לא ראו המעשה³ אותן העדים:

And one can say that רבא is discussing a case where there are other who testify that the מתרה saw the עדים who saw the act, however these עדים who saw that the מתרה saw the testifying עדים; they did not see the act.

<u>SUMMARY</u>

There are (outside) witnesses that the מתרה saw the עדים (otherwise the מתרה would not be believed).

THINKING IT OVER

תוספות states that it is understood in the case where the מתרה saw that they are believed since there are two עד. However each עד only saw that the מתרה saw him (not the other עד for they are not רואין זא"ז and are by different windows), so each איז should be considered an ע", and should not be believed to kill the accused.

Perhaps the 'פירוש' of תוספות is negating the view of the יש מפרשים is referencing the מצטרפין and מצטרפין is relating to דבא is relating to מצטרף מצא א' מהן קרוב וכו' states that the two single מצטרף to kill the accused.

² See 'Thinking it over',

³ If those עדים saw the act we would carry out the ruling based on their testimony; we would not need the צירוף.

⁴ See footnote # 1.