#### שאינו יוצא בראשי אברים כעבד –

# That he does not leave with the tips of his limbs like a slave

# <u>Overview</u>

The גמרא cites a ברייתא, which expounds the פסוק פסוק,<sup>1</sup> to mean that ברייתא, und ברייתא בגפו יצא, and גברי explained that to mean that an עבד עברי is not freed if his master destroyed his געברים.<sup>2</sup> Our תוספות explains why we would have assumed that an יוצא בראשי אברים יוצא, so that we need a פסוק to exclude it.

-----

- <sup>6</sup>ידסלקא דעתין דלא גרע מעבד כנעני שאינו יוצא בשש<sup>5</sup> ויובל<sup>4</sup> ובגרעון כסף<sup>5</sup> ועובד את הבת For it would have entered our minds that an ע"ע should certainly be freed through ע"כ, since the ע"ע is not worse than an ע"כ, who is not freed through גרעון כסף or, בים, and he serves the daughter of his master -

ואפילו הכי יוצא בראשי אברים:

And nevertheless is freed through ראשי אברים, so an ע"ע who is יוצא בשש ויובל ובגרעון יוצא בשש וובל ובגרעון, so an ע"ע who is כסף and does not serve the daughter of his master should certainly be freed through כסף, לאשי אברים ליגע אברים teaches us that the ע"ע is not יוצא בראשי אברים.

### <u>Summary</u>

Without the פסוק we would assume that an יוצא בראשי אברים, through a "ק" from יוצא בראשי who is not יוצא בשש ויובל וכו' as an ע"ע is.

## Thinking it over

Previously (שז,א) the גמרא derived from לא תצא כצאת העבדים (mentioned shortly in our גמרא here) that an אמה העבריה is not יוצאת בראשי אברים. Why did not תוספות there why would we think that she is יוצא בראשי אברים?<sup>8</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> שמות (משפטים) כא,ג.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The rule by an עבד כענני is that if his master blinds him or knocks out his tooth (or any other of the עבד כענני), the slave is freed (שמות [משפטים] כא,כו-כז); however by an ע"ע, the master has to pay the לעבד for the damage done, but he still remains an ע"ע.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> An  $\mathfrak{V}$ "v serves his master for six years and then he is freed, however an  $\mathfrak{V}$ "is required to work forever.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If יובל must continue to serve even after ע"ע is freed, however the יובל must continue to serve even after יובל.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> An  $\mathfrak{V}$ " $\mathfrak{v}$  can redeem himself by paying back the master (pro rate) the money which he paid for the  $\mathfrak{v}$ " $\mathfrak{v}$  (even if the master disagrees), however an  $\mathfrak{V}$ " $\mathfrak{v}$  cannot be redeemed by giving the master money if the master refuses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> An  $\forall$ " $\forall$  must serve the master's son (if the master died during the six years), but he need not serve the master's daughter, however an  $\forall$ " $\forall$  must serve (not only the son but even) the daughter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> An  $\mathfrak{V}$ "v is more easily freed than an  $\mathfrak{V}$ , therefore we should assume that whatever frees an  $\mathfrak{V}$ "should certainly free an  $\mathfrak{V}$ "v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See מהרש"א.