- איכא אין שביעית משמטתו (בשטר 1) לעשר המלוה דאמרי איכא איכא חבירו (בשטר 1) לעשר חבירו Others say; one who lends his friend (with a note) for ten years, Shve'is does not exempt him

OVERVIEW

There are two versions regarding the case where money was lent for a period of ten years; whether שביעית exempts the borrower from paying the loan; the איכא דאמרי rules like this version, and cites proof for his ruling.

- אומר און מסייע ליה וקשיא ללישנא קמא אומר בתרא דהכי מתניתין מסייע ליה וקשיא ללישנא קמא דהכי אומר בתרא אומר states that the ruling is like the latter version (the איכא דאמרי) for indeed our לישנא קמא supports the לישנא בתרא, and contradicts the שביעית, which maintains that משמט a ten year loan.

: ובאזהרות 5 הגיה רבינו תם זמן עשר (כסף) כי ילונו 4 ולא במשפט 5 בחצי ימיו יעזבנו: And the ר''ת amended the אזהרות to read; זמן עשר (כסף) כי ילונו ולא במשפט בחצי (when he will lend (money) for ten years he is not included in the law of 'he will forgo it in half its lifetime).

SUMMARY

The ר"ת rules that a loan for ten years is not משמט (as opposed to the view of the אזהרות).

THINKING IT OVER

1. Why does the משנה supports the ל"ב and disagrees with the ל"ק,

² משנה מא משנה (and supports the איכא אמרי) from our משנה where it states that the עדים זוממין pay the difference whether the loan is due in thirty days or in ten years; indicating that a loan is due even after ten years and שמיטה does not exempt him. See 'Thinking it over'.

 $^{^{1}}$ The word בשטר does not appear in the גמרא text.

³ The הגהות הב"ח הגהות הב"ח (instead of ובאזכרות); however others maintain that it is (indeed) the הגהות הב"ח, the brother-in-law of יוטים אזהרות לרבנו אליהו הזקן which were authored by different פייטנים and was a liturgy based on the מצות (both עשה ולא תעשה), and were recited by various communities ([usually] on שבועות).

⁴ In the original אזהרות the test read; זמן וכו' ילונו, בחצי ימיו יעזבנו (omitting the words ולא במשפט), meaning that a loan for ten years will be given up in half its lifetime for שביעית (this is the view of ר"א הזקן).

 $^{^5}$ The משמט (who maintains like the א"ד (who maintains like the "ד"ת hat a loan for more than seven years is not משמט amended the text by inserting the words ולא במשפט (as found in ירמיה יז,א which reads ולא במשפט בחצי ימיו

יעזבנו), to follow his ruling that משמט is not merefore the מלוה is not included in the law – ולא במשפט – of מלוה is not included in the law – ולא במשפט – of מין יעזבנו (that he loses the loan).

since רבא explained the משנה (even) in accordance with the ל"ק.

2. Does the ר"ת argue with the אזהרות (of the ר"א, or does he agree with him (and the הגה"ה was to indicate the correct view of ר"א הזקן?

⁶ See אוצר מפרשי התלמוד # 102.