## דלא אתיא סימני נערות לא הוי זבינה זבינא -

# One that does not bring signs of נערות the sale is not a valid sale

#### **OVERVIEW**

רב אשי taught that if not for the פסוק סל ויצאה חנם (which alludes to בגרות), we may have assumed that an אילונית cannot be sold as an אמה העבריה. Our clarifies what this means, for seemingly when a girl is a קטנה (which is when she can be sold as an אמה), we do not as yet know whether she will become an אילונית or not.

-----

asks: תוספות

ואם תאמר ובקטנות איך נדע שלא תביא סימני נערות –

And if you will say; but when she is a minor how can we know that she will not bring סימני נערות -

– דסימני אילונית היינו כשהיא בת עשרים ולא הביאה שערות For the signs of an אילונית are that when she is twenty years old and did not grow pubic hairs -

כדאיתא פרק הערל (יבמות דף פ,ב) –

**as it is brought** in פרק הערל. How can we say that if a man sells his daughter while she is a קטנה that there is no sale if she is an אילונית; how can we know now, when she is still a קטנה, that she will become an אילונית later when she turns twenty?

מוספות anticipates a possible solution and rejects it:

אף על פי שיש סימני אילונית שאפשר להכיר בקטנות – And even though there are certain signs of an אילונית that are recognizable (even) when she is a קטנה -

כגון סימן דקולה עבה ואין שיפולי מעיה כנשים – For instance, the sign that her voice is thick or that she has no שיפולי שיפולי exhibits these signs one cannot sell her for an אמה העבריה. However, חוספות rejects this solution -

-3הא אמרינן (שם) גבי סריס עד שיהא בו כולן ומסתמא הוא הדין באילונית באילונית בי סריס גבי סריס, that he is not considered a סריס, that he is not considered a סריס until he has all the signs of a סריס, and presumably the same rule applies by an אילונית. When the girl is a קטנה she cannot have all the סימנים of an אילונית, since one of the סימנים is that she did not grow שערות by the age of twenty. The question

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See יבמות פֿ,ב ד"ה שיפולי in יבמות פֿ,ב ד"ה שיפולי that by women the lower abdomen curves (slightly) outward.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  A סריס is a eunuch; the male counterpart of an אילונית.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 'Thinking it over'.

remains, what is meant that we cannot sell an אילונית as an אמה העבריה, if we do not as yet know that she is an אילונית.

replies:

-ויש לומר דהכי קאמר $^4$  לא הוי זבינה זבינא

And one can say; that (indeed) this is what the גמרא means; that the sale is not a valid sale if later it is determined that she is an אילונית -

וצריך האדון להחזיר מעשיה והאב יחזיר הכסף וגם אין שייך בה דין ייעוד אם יעדה:

And the master must return all her work to the father and the father is required to return the money to the master, and also even if the master was מייעד her the rules of יעוד do not apply and she is not considered married to the master.

### **SUMMARY**

The א"ה according to רב אשי was that if an אמה העבריה was subsequently determined to be an אילונית, the entire sale is invalidated retroactively; even the יעוד is disqualified.

#### **THINKING IT OVER**

תוספות asserts that just as by a סריס all the סימנים are required before he is deemed a סריס the same applies to אילונית. However, concerning a סריס there is a חלוקת there in חב between מס' יבמות who maintains all סימני סריס are required and רב who maintains even one סימני מימן is sufficient, so perhaps סימני אילונית agrees with סימני אילונית and is discussing a case where one of the סימני אילונית was apparent.  $^8$ 

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> אילונית (for indeed we do not as of yet know that she is an אילונית while she is a קטנה), but rather that the sale of an אילונית will be invalidated later when we determine that she is indeed an אילונית.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The process of אדון is where the אמה that he is betrothing her [with the money he paid her father for her purchase]. Since the entire sale is invalidated, there was never any legal transfer between the sale is nothing on which the אדון; therefore there is nothing on which the אדון כמח be אדון.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> חוספות may not be satisfied with the first explanation, for in reality it may not be that significant if the sale is considered valid or not. We can assume that the money the father received for his daughter will be equal to the value of the work which the daughter produced (for this is the usual case monies are paid for value received). Therefore חוספות mentions the second explanation where there is a significant difference whether the sale is valid (and she is an אַשׁת אִישׁר) or not (where she still is a פֿבויה. However the second explanation is only valid when there was יִעוֹד, therefore חוספות offers the first explanations which is valid in all cases.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See footnote # 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See אמ"ה footnote # 139.