If he scorched it before – חרכו קודם זמנו מותר בהנאה אף לאחר זמנו the time, it is permitted for beneficial use even after the time

Overview

רבא states that חמץ which was scorched before שעה החמישית (the זמן איסורו) is חמץ (the זמן איסורו will rule to what degree the חמץ חמץ המץ איסורו ווער בהנאה 1 .

תוספות qualifies this ruling:

וכגון שנפסל מלאכול לכלב –

And in an instance where it was so spoiled that a dog could not eat it - בענין אחר לא הוי שרי דומיא דפת שעיפשה בפרק קמא 2 (דף טו,ב):

For in any other manner (if it were scorched to a lesser extent; where it is possible for a dog to eat it), it would not be permitted to derive benefit from it after זמן איסורו; it would be similar to bread which became moldy which is discussed in the first פרק; that it is to be burnt before ססה (and obviously מותר בהנאה during אסור בהנאה). We must therefore conclude that this אסור בהנאה, was scorched to such a degree to become unfit even for a dog.

Summary

Only חמץ which became נפסל מאכילת כלב (before זמן איסורו) is מותר בהנאה after מותר בהנאה Otherwise it is to be burnt before מחם and is אסור בהנאה.

Thinking it over

According to תוספות is the reason that הרכו וכו' מותר הרכו only because [presently] it is הרכו מאכילת כלב, or because he was [also] הרכו to such an extent that it was $?^3$

¹ See רש"י, ד"ה לא הוספות. It would seem that רשפות disagrees with רש"י (and requires a greater degree of spoilage).

² The אמרא cites a ברייתא which states that if bread (of תרומה) became unfit for human consumption (but a dog can eat it); it is to be burnt together with תרומה before הפסה. It is evident from that אמר that if the food is אסור בהנאה ; it has to be burnt before פסח and it is certainly אסור בהנאה after אסור.

 $^{^{3}}$ See אות ברהם אברהם (see also אות אור החמה).