One who rents out a house – המשכיר בית לחבירו בחזקת שהוא בדוק to his friend with the presumption that it had been searched

OVERVIEW

The גמרא posed a query; if a house was rented out under the presumption that it was already בדוק and the tenant realized that it was not בדוק, can the tenant break the rental agreement (and not be liable to pay the rental fee). The question seems to be if the bother of בדיקת המץ is sufficient to cancel the deal. אביי answered that the rental agreement remains intact since a person is pleased to do a מצוה (even if it costs him money). [The reason the tenant wants to break the lease is not because of the תוספות , but rather because of other considerations.] עוספות will discuss when the rental took place.



- נראה לרבינו שמשון בן אברהם דבשלשה עשר איירי

It is the view of the א"ביסן that this case took place on the thirteenth of ניסן; the rental became effective on the thirteenth, so there is no חיוב בדיקה on the משכיר. The משכיר is on the חיוב בדיקה begins on the חיוב שוכר because he is the tenant when the חיוב בדיקה begins on the night of the fourteenth. Therefore (אביי concludes that) even though it was presumed that he would be exempt from this מערה, nevertheless a person is pleased to do a מצוה (even מרה).

דבארבעה עשר על המשכיר לבדוק:

Because if the rental took place on the fourteenth it is the responsibility of the landlord to search. The house was in the possession of the משכיר when the היוב בדיקה began on the night of the משכיר is on the משכיר. There is no issue of שוכר משכיר to be משכיר and force the משכיר 2 .

SUMMARY

The query (and subsequent resolution) concerning הזקת בדוק is if the rental took place on the thirteenth; if it took place on the fourteenth it is the responsibility of the משכיר (otherwise, the שוכר may cancel the lease).

THINKING IT OVER

_

 $^{^{1}}$ See previous תוספות ד,א ד"ה על.

² Alternately; if the משכיר does not want to be בודק or is not present we cannot apply the concept of ניהא ליה לאיניש since there is no חיוב or a שוכר to be בגופו (ובממונו). Therefore he can cancel the lease (or at least demand payment for the expense of בודק. [Others however argue that if the משכיר is not בודק, then there is a מוכר or the חיוב (see the בודק).]

What does בדוק שהוא בדוק mean if the rental took place בי"ג, and what does it mean if the rental took place בי"ד?