This meat of a kid goat, and it is sealed

- האי בישרא דגדיא ושריק

Overview

The גמרא rules that the meat of a kid goat is permitted if the oven is sealed. However the meat of a ram is prohibited if the oven is not sealed. In a case of kid meat and an unsealed oven or ram meat and a sealed oven there is a dispute between רב אשי (who permits) and ר' ירמיה מיפתי (who prohibits). חוספות clarifies what is it that is permitted or forbidden,

מפרש¹ בעל הלכות גדולות דמכאן ואילך איירי בצלי² -

The בה"ג explains that from here and further, we are discussing roasting over a fire, but not cooking in a pot -

וכן משמע מדמייתי עלה³ אין צולין בשר בצל וביצה -

And so it seems like the בה"ג, since the גמרא cites the משנה of 'we do not roast meat, onion or egg', to ask on רב אשי so; רב אשי must be discussing צלי as well -

ולקמן בפרקין⁴ נמי מייתי לה אמשלשלים את הפסח -

And later in our ברק, the גמרא cites this case of גדיא ושריק to contrast it with the of 'lowering the קרבן פסה, because they are both discussing - צלי

ולהכי ברחא ולא שריק אסור אפילו בחייא כיון דמיירי בצלי ראוי לאוכלה בלילה ואתי לחתויי: And so therefore by a ram where the oven is not sealed, it is forbidden even if it is raw,⁶ for since we are discussing צלי, it can be ready to be eaten at night, and therefore he may come to stoke the fire.

Summary

One may not roast (ע"ש עם חשיכה) a ברחא ולא שריק even if it is raw.

¹ Previously the ממרא גמרא ruled that one may place a pot of raw meat (whether ברה) in the oven right before שבת (even if it is not sealed), since it will not be ready on Friday night anyway, there is no concern for אמר . The גמרא The אמרא here however distinguishes between בה"ג and ברוא or if it is שריק or not שריק; why the difference. The בה"ג addresses this issue.

 $^{^2}$ If it is roasted on an open fire it can be ready on Friday night, therefore there is the concern of שמא in certain cases

³ The איכא asks how can איכא דעור (in the איכא איכא) that one may place ram meat even if it the oven is unsealed, since the משנה states one may not roast בשר וכו' unless they are roasted משנה. The fact that the מבעו"ל from a case of צלי, proves that א"ר (and ר' ירמיה מדיפתי) and the entire discussion here is regarding צלי.

⁴ גמרא גמרא there asks why does the משלשלין את הפסח משלשלין את (only) because the בני חבורה and will not be הותה, but we ruled that a גדיא is permitted whether פסח אריק שריק. Again we see the גמרא is comparing שלי with our case of גדיא, proving that our cases here are also concerning צלי.

⁵ According to the first לשון everyone agrees to this, according to the אכא דיכא דאמרי it is אכא according to אסור ירמיה מדיפתי רימיה מדיפתי

⁶ This is the assumption of (הוסי) according to) the בה"ג. It is not stated clearly in the גמרא.

Thinking it over

What would be the ruling according to the בה"ג by a גדיא cooking in a pot? 7

קי' See רא"ש סי' לה and חבר.