"ל ד' על ד' – And if one carves out in it an area of four טפחים by four טפחים

Overview

Concerning an area which is surrounded by מחיצות less than ten טפחים high, and in a section of this area, a hole, 'ד' על ד', is carved deep enough that now there is ten מחיצות from the bottom of the hole to the top of the מחיצות, we find a difference between הלכות שבת.

Pertaining to הלכות סוכה in the above case it will be a valid סוכה, providing that the wall of the הקק and the outer wall of the סוכה are less than three מפחים from each other. If the distance between them is three טפחים or more however, it is a סוכה פסולה, since we cannot combine the two מדיצות.

Concerning הלכות שבת however, this enclosed area is always a רה"י, regardless of the distance between the two מהיצות.

אוספות will first ask and then explain the difference between these two הלכות.

asks: תוספות

ריב"א מאי שנא לריב"א - And the ריב"א has a difficulty with this גמרא; why is שבת different from a סוכה -

"סוכה **which is not high ten טפחים,** which renders it unfit 1 to be a סוכה כשרה

אסרה דסוכה (דף הא) בפרק המא - concerning which, the גמרא says in the first מסכת סוכה ${\bf of}$ מסכת סוכה, that if

לי' בה להשלימה ליי – **he carved out** in the floor of the סוכה, a hole deep enough **to complete** the total height of the סוכה **to** be **ten** טפחים high, then the דין is as follows:

יש משפת הקק ולכותל ג' טפחים פסולה – if the distance from the outer edge of the carved out area to the original wall is three סוכה or more, the פסולה is

מג' כשרה – if the abovementioned distance is less than three כשרה the נפחים is כשרה כשרה כשרה נשרה מג' כשרה מוכה

שבת אהני הקיקה – however here by שבת, carving out is sufficient to render the interior of the house a רה",

from the external **wall**, for the גמרא here makes no stipulation as to the distance from the external wall. Why is there this difference between סוכה and סוכה?

 $^{^{1}}$ We derive from סכך that סכך must be at least ten טפחים from the ground.

 $^{^2}$ In many instances, when two objects that are within 3 טפחים of each other, we apply the הלכה למשה מסיני, meaning that they are joined as one. Here too, since both מפחים are within 3 טפחים of each other we say מחיצות, that they are joined together as one whole מחיצה.

מוספות answers:

ריב" התם גבי דשאני התם - And the ריב"א answered that there by סוכה it is different, (than here by שבת -

לסכך מחיצות מחיצות בעינן שיהיו for we require that the מחיצות הסוכה be sufficiently close to the

מסכת סוכה שלפינן התם - because, there in מסכת שלפינן אתם, we derive, the necessity and requirements of מחיצות -

תורה בסכת בסכת – from the **three** times that the תורה mentions the word תורה mentions three walls)³

בסולה אמרא גמרא גמרא בסולה הרחיק החיבן בדאמרינן בסולה אמרא says there, that if he placed the סכך at a distance of three טפחים or more from the walls, the פסולה is סוכה

'and therefore we require that the distance from the שפת החקק to the כותל הסוכה be less than three טפחים

דצריך לצרף הגידוד של הקק למחיצות – since it is necessary to combine the height of the הקק with the מחיצות

סוכה לסכך כשנגד הנקב – in order that the walls (of the סוכה should be close to the סדי that is directly above the מקום החקק, and we cannot combine these two walls (the outer walls of the סוכה and the embankment of the if they are at a distance of three טפחים or more from each other, only if they are less than three טפחים apart 5 .

By שבת however there is no requirement that the מחיצות be near "anything", therefore the מחיצה and the מדערף are מחיצה for a מחיצה, even if they are apart from each other a distance of three טפחים or more.

תוספות questions the validity of this answer:

דופן אמות מכשרת עד ד' אמות – and even though the rule of דופן - אמות – and even though the rule of דופן פסולה יש פחולה, renders a סוכה כשרה שנה של יש מונה של אמות אמות; why is it then פסולה

³ Since the requirement of three walls is derived from the words "סכך, which refer mainly to the סכך, therefore there is the requirement that the ממוכות לסכך be מחיצות.

⁴ Since that is the only סכך כשר for it is the only טפחים above the ground beneath it. The 'outside' אויר י' טפחים does not have אויר י' טפחים beneath it.

סכך מחוצה which is a מסיני which is a הלכה למשה מסיני states; that if there is a proper מחיצה, however there is a djacent to the מחיצה, then the ד' אמות is less that occ פסול is less than מכך פסול is less than מחיצה של wide, which means that the distance from the סכך כשר to the מחיצה is less than כשרה is סוכה מוכה אמות, for we say מכך כשר העומה, for we say מכך כשר that the wall bends and curves – so to speak – and it is extended via the מחיצה that the מחיצה and the סכך כשר are – as if – adjacent to one another. If however the מחיצה is wide מוכה פסולה מחיצה מחיצה של מחיצה לסכך פסולה מחיצה therefore the מחיצה ווא המחיצה מחיצה מחיצה מחיצה של מחי

by a distance of merely three טפּחים? Even though the outside wall is adjacent to סכך, nevertheless let us utilize the concept of ד' אמות, and since it is less than ד' אמות from the outside wall to סוכה כשרה, it should be a סוכה.

replies:

מסכת הש"ס – The מסכת מוכה מסכת answers this question 7 and makes the following distinction:

דופן עקומה איכא דאיכא היכא היכא -The rule of דופן applies, only in the case where there exist already proper מחיצות

אבל היכא דלשוויה מחיצה – however in a case where it is necessary to create a 8 מחיצה, then –

שפחים המחיצות בל המדידו עם המחיצות – if the distance is less than three טפחים, then we can combine the embankment of the הקק with the external walls סכך with the external walls, which renders the מהיצות to be sufficiently close to the סכך הריא סמוך לסכך – more than this amount we cannot combine the מחיצות and the to be considered as one wall of ten טפחים [and therefore we do not say הוכה פסולה מול it is a סוכה פסולה.

Summary

There is a distinction between the שבת מחיצות and the סוכה מחיצות סוכה. The מוכה מחיצות of a סוכה בפסולה are required to be סמוך לסכך, otherwise it is a סוכה פסולה. Therefore if the distance from the חקק to the outer wall is less than three then the attriction the putilizing גידוד החקק, then by utilizing לבוד to the outer wall is joined to the מפחים and forms one straight סמוך לסכך כשר שע"ג החקק. If however the distance is three טפחים or more, then the outer wall remains adjacent to the סכך פסולה and it is a סוכה פסולה מחיצה.

The שבת of מהיצות מהיצות מהיצות מחיצות on the other hand, serve to separate the inner הה"י from the outside 'world'. The area of the הקק is bounded by מהיצות עשרה, through combining the heights of the two מהיצות. The distance between them is irrelevant, since the מקום החקק is separated from the outside by מהיצות עשרה adds that even the concept of דופן עקומה is not applicable to our situation, because we utilize the concept of דופן עקומה only when there is a valid independent מהיצה. This outside wall is not גבוה י' נקומה by itself, therefore we cannot accord it the status of a דופן עקומה.

The הלכה of דופן עקומה is only if the wall is adjacent to סכך פסול. If there is an open space between the wall and the סכך כשר then it must be less than ספחים of airspace otherwise it is a סוכה פסולה.

 $^{^7}$ In connection as to why the זידו must be within 3 מפחים of the outside wall, while by a ('regular') דופן it could be up to סכך משר distance from the סכך. The מרא מרא there does not assume explicitly, that it would be a תוספות מחיצה לענין שבת assumes here.

 $^{^{8}}$ As in our case, where the wall for which we want to say דופן עקומה is, of its own accord, not a proper מחיצה at all, since it is פחות מי' טפחים.

⁹ By saying לבוד, or alternately; by saying דופן עקומה.

Thinking it over

- 1. Which concept(s) were we made aware of in the original תירוץ of the π , which was not apparent to us in his קשיא?
- 2. Do we say לבוד to extend the גידוד החקק to the outside walls, or do we say to join the outside wall to the גידוד החקק? Why!?
- 3. In the final analysis, even if there is more than three טפחים between the חקק and the outside wall, the area is nevertheless considered a הה"י, which means that there is a מחיצה, so why then do we not say דופן עקומה?
- 4. Can we say that לבוד is more effective than דופן עקומה?