

אי לענין שבת כגרוגרת בעינן -

If it is regarding *Shabbos*, we require the size of a dried fig

Overview

גמרא queried what is the rule if one threw a כזית of תרומה into a טמא בית. The גמרא asked if the question was concerning whether he transgressed the מלאכה of שבת; what is the question? We know that to be חייב for הכנסה one must carry an item the size of a גרוגרת. Our תוספות suggests other reasons why the query cannot be regarding בשבת הכנסה.

תוספות wonders:

הוה מצי למיפרך אמאי נקט זרק ואמאי נקט תרומה ולבית¹ טמא:

The גמרא **could have asked**, if s'רבא question is regarding בשבת הכנסה (exclusively), **why did רבא mention זרק** (that he threw it), **and why mention** that it was תרומה, **and why mention** that he threw it **into a טמא בית**? They seemingly have no bearing regarding שבת. Our תוספות does not explain why the גמרא did not ask these questions.

Summary

There are many reasons why the query was not regarding שבת exclusively.

Thinking it over

Is there any advantage in תוספות proof (that we are not discussing שבת) over the s'רבא proof?

¹ If the query was regarding שבת he should have asked, 'what is the ruling if he carried a כזית into a house'. There is a (major) difference between the s'רבא proof (that רבא is not discussing שבת) and תוספות proof. The גמרא asks why is there a query; the answer is obvious; if it is not כגרוגרת he is פטור. While תוספות question is (merely), why did רבא word it this way?