But these Persians

והא הני פרסאי –

OVERVIEW

ר' יוחנן ruled that by a tied up person, ר' נתן agrees that we do not say אמר החי נושא את asked how can בן בתירא (who permits selling horses to gentiles) agrees with בתירא (that החי נושא את עצמו) but the Persians are considered tied up to their horses, so how are we permitted to sell horses to the Persians. מברא's question.

ואיהו לא מפליגי למכור לשום נכרי:

And he (בן בתירא) does not prohibit from selling to any gentile even the Persians.

SUMMARY

We assume that בן בחירא permits selling horses (even) to Persians, since בן בחירא did not qualify his permissive ruling.

THINKING IT OVER

Why can we not say that בן בתירא, by mentioning in the ברייתא the reason for his permissive ruling, namely מפני שהוא עושה מלאכה שאין חייבין עליה חטאת, he is indicating that it is not a blanket איסור הטאת only if there is no איסור הטאת, however by the Persians since they are considered כפותים there will be an איסור (like ברו נתן) and this is indeed forbidden?

_

¹ בן בחירא ruled generally that one is permitted to sell to נכרים and he did not distinguish between Persians and other נכרים. See 'Thinking it over'.

² However if ב"ב agrees with כפות רפות (that את עצמו); how can ב"ב permit selling even to Persians, since by them it can come to an איסור דאורייתא for he may come to rent it to them, which is forbidden because of שביתת בהמתו